National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XXII. NO. 32. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 21 1861. WHOLE NO. 1,124.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY, ON SATURDAY,

AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM,

BY THE

AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

At its Office, No. 5 Beekman Street, New York,

AND AT THE OFFICE OF THE

PENNSYLVANIA ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

107 North Tenth Street, Philadelphia.

Letters for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed, "Editor of the National Anti-Slavery Standard, New York." Letters enclosing subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business affairs of the paper, should be addressed, "Treasurer of the National Anti-Slavery Standard, New York."

Advertisements, 10 cents per line each insertion.

Pro-Slavery.

In this Department we give place to such extracts from the Pro-Slavery Press, North and South, as serve best to illustrate the character of Slavery and the spirit of its champions and apologists.

SAMBO ALL THE TIME.

Editorial Correspondence of The N. Y. Express.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6.

I hear expressed, among civilians and intelligent military officers, many utterances of regret, displeasure and indignation at the course pursued in both Houses of Congress in launch forth at once upon a new slavery discussion. Negro work for months has been carved out by certain members, but it is rather the work of not to let them to put the knife to the throat of those who wish to put the country on the basis of popular storm and demonstration, than of thoughtful, earnest, and patriotic men, who love their country and desire to see its peace and honor restored. The question of slavery—what to do with "contraband"—what to do with "fugitive slaves now serving" belonging to loyal and disloyal masters—what to do with ten thousand free negroes—may well cause sober inquiry and sober disposition. But just now, these are questions which are in the process of development, and they might better be left to the Administration, and the Military Departments controlled by the Administration, than with those who, at best, cannot know half as much of the real state of the country. Gen. Hallock comes in for a good deal of vigorous abuse from the excitable men of Congress, for his proclamation in Missouri, just as Gen. Sherman and Gen. Dix do for their proclamations in South Carolina and Virginia; but in spite of all the personal clamor and all the noise of members, and all the resolutions and calls from the Senators and Representatives upon the heads of Departments and upon the President, I believe there is virtue enough left in Congress even to pay some practical respect to the suggestion that to pay some practical respect to the suggestion that *old Constitutions* and all public men have even on the support. Sensible anti-slavery men, who do not wish too soon to become possessed of that elephantic prize in the lottery—four million of slaves taken in one net—by an act of Congress, should not be taken in by that proverb which tells us to "Make haste slowly."

The following is a specimen of the colors in which Sambo has been presented during three days of the session by grave Senators and Representatives:

"Sambo in the District of Columbia."

"Sambo in the Territories."

"Sambo among the Indian Tribes."

"Sambo in jail."

"Sambo in the Courts."

"Sambo in Rebeldom."

"Sambo in the Loyal States."

"Sambo in Canada."

"Sambo Colonized."

"Sambo in the Army."

"Sambo at large."

"Sambo in the Army."

"Sambo in the Navy."

"Sambo under Parliamentary Law."

"Sambo in Committee of the Whole."

"Sambo before a Special Committee."

"Sambo on the Railroads."

"Sambo on the highways." (1)

Sober-minded men, who look beyond their noses—who remember Hayti and Jamaica—who see what the free blacks in Canada are, and know the idle habits of the race, naturally feel some alarm at the radical measures proposed in Congress, but many of them you may put down to Buncombe, and regard as the natural ebullitions of those who, for long years, have traded before the people in this negro excitement. They are the Pandora's Box of the politics of the real friends of the race certainly none to the white race. I was glad to hear Mr. Fessenden say yesterday in the Senate, that he intended to move on this question, within the circle prescribed by the Constitution—the joint resolution of '57 and '59, and not the new Constitution of the Sumners and Lanes of the Senate, of the Lovejoys of the House of Representatives and of the Wendell Phillips's of Boston. All these men declare and have declared that they are for these men declare and have declared that they are for God. If it be so they might remember that it is only the hyena who opens the graves, and disturbs the bones of the dead.

E. B.

(1) The list is not complete without this addition: "Sambo in *The Express, passim.*"—Ed. Standard.

WARD BEECHER ON EMANCIPATION.

From The Newburyport Herald.

Henry Ward Beecher is one of the able men of this era: he is the ablest of all his family, and that is saying much, for there is not one of them that is not above mediocrity. But Ward Becher—and we may say the same of all the Beechers—is somewhat erratic—very much so—and frequently on national topics we should have no sympathy with the man or his words. Ward Beecher, however, has an under stratum of common sense, which appealed to on any momentous question, brings him right. Like many other people in all ages, he has believed themselves in the front ranks of the progressives, he finds in the midst of this revolution, that the fossils have been aroused, and are sweeping far ahead of him; and he —even Ward Beecher—is constrained to lift up his voice to the rear, and, like Sumner and Wendell Phillips, and Lloyd Garrison, that it is time to hold back, but Daniel S. Dickinson and the Hunker Democrats, who only a short year ago broke up the Democratic party because it was becoming the instrument, that the proposition to declare emancipation, in disregard of law, will end in the destruction of this Republic. We quote below from his sermon, delivered on Thanksgiving day; and commend it both to Democrats and Republicans, who, seized with the prevailing mania, are whirling about in the crazy dreams of the day, without one thought of law or Constitution or national compacts, that should be binding on all—who, because the South have gone mad and rushed to rebellion, which must end in their ruin, would themselves go mad, and plunge into the boiling cauldron of violence and anarchy, to whose brink revolutious always lead:

"Of all the advice that has been given, while it may seem to those who know not the nature of our institutions most rational, there is none that chimes more with the Northern feeling than this—to make a declaration of emancipation to settle this difficulty; and yet neither the popular impulse nor the foreign advice can be followed. We must *conduct this war by and through our institutions*, or else we must declare that our institutions have failed, and that we have reverted to original principles. There is only one or the other of these courses. The last we cannot and shall not do. We are not going to say to the world that republican institutions have so signally failed that we must abandon them, and reëstablish other ones. No man will say that. We who boast of our Constitution must not violate it ourselves by putting down those who violate it. *We must not by Congressional legislation declare political emancipation.* And, I wish we could. I wish Adam had not sinned, and his posterity had not been affected; but that does not alter the case. I wish our fathers had not stood out against what are called the compromises of the Constitution. Better then than now. The serpent just hatched is not half so much to be feared as the serpent full grown. Our troubles have increased with every generation, and what is the use of arguing over what we can't help? Our fathers signed the bond, and we accepted it. *Can we afford to break it for the sake of even so magnificent a result as the emancipation of the slave?* Shall we steal the crystal instrument—the joy of the world, and our pride? It is very easy to say, 'Now it is a state of war—let us declare emancipation.' The war has not driven us out of our institutions. We are not ourselves in a state of rebellion. We cannot expect by destroying the Constitution to put down the rebellion. If any one ask me whether a law or a constitution are superior to original principles of morality and justice, I say no; but plighted faith is itself in nature of a sacred moral principle. Our faith is given, and must be kept! When we cannot abide by our promise, then, in methods expressly provided, we must withdraw the pledge and the agreements of the Constitution, and stand apart as two separate governments.

The New York *Journal of Commerce* notices this sermon of Mr. Beecher in the following terms:

"Rev. H. W. Beecher preached on Thanksgiving day to his congregation in Brooklyn. On the proposition to proclaim emancipation, in disregard of law and Constitution, he took a decided view, contrasting most favorably with the twaddle of Charles Sumner, and presenting the curious picture of a clergyman teaching sounder political doctrines than a United States Senator."

Selections.

GERRIT SMITH TO THADDEUS STEVENS.

PETERBORO, Dec. 6, 1861.

Hon. Thaddeus Stevens, M.C.—*Dear Sir:* I have finished reading the President's Message, Secretary Cameron's Report, and your resolution.

The Report speaks on the slave question in a frank and manly tone. But the proposition for new boundaries in the case of several States is highly judicious, save that instead of conditioning the boundaries on a change in the representation of Maryland, it should have conditioned them on the entire abolition of slavery in those States. Does the Secretary need a still further proof that the Capital can never be secure whilst surrounded by slave States? Would he leave its great source of peril essentially undiminished?

The Message is marked with the characteristic sincerity and patriotism of the President. Nevertheless its treatment of the question of slavery—of the great and all-controlling question in the war—is such as to confirm my conviction that the country is lost. With all his desire to save it he has never yet welcomed, if indeed he has ever yet conceived, the idea of saving it at whatever cost. The saving of the Constitution, and of all the rights enjoyed under it, does not satisfy the demands of the hour. I never conceded to slaveholders, is essential to his conception of a saved country. But could we come out of this war with nothing left to us but our territorial limits and with the Constitution still breathing the principles of Democracy and still breathing the spirit of equal rights, we should in my esteem come out of it with a saved country. Meagre and naked as would be such a result in the eyes of our conservative President, it would be glorious beyond all human price, and would, if needful, sacrifice all else to secure it. If, as I so strongly fear, a lost country will be our share in the fruits of this contest, it will be owing to nothing so much as the unrelaxed purposes of the President to save it on the basis of slavery and at whatever hazard to the country, things which are not among its essential and eternal parts, but only among its temporary and changeful arrangements. Nothing can save the country but a paramount purpose to save all will be owing the loss of all.

Another thing in the President very deeply to be regretted is that he has never seemed to be sensible of the great perils of his country. How else can we account for his having uttered, in his Message, such measures so manifestly indispensable to its salvation? Whilst millions of his countrymen are entirely confident that either slavery or the Union must go down in this war, he sees no difficulty in preserving both; and confidently cherishes the hope and shapes his plans to preserve both. I have never seen a phrenological chart of his head. But I have no doubt that his veneration is large, and that his combativeness small. He would keep all things precisely as they are. He would have the fugitive slave sent back to the very spot he came from, and into exactly his former relations. He would not allow one line or letter of the Constitution to be changed for the necessities of war. If in ordinary times he might make the very best of Presidents, nevertheless now, when a mighty and relentless rebellion is threatening the nation with overthrow, such a President is no more sufficient for the emergency than is a mouse for the lion's cage. He is a man of routine, and routine will be broken up rather than a man, who denies that our black brethren have earned a home in this land which they have wet like the rain with their sweat, and tears, and blood. It is *preeminently* their land. It was *given* to them when they were made by God of the oppressed will not suffer them to leave it till they will. He has already begun to punish American oppression very horribly. And let American oppression beware how He make the punishment still more horrible. Quite possibly the end will be shed without making it more by the policy of direct or virtual expulsion. Under that policy there will be "blood—even unto the horse-bridles."

I spoke a moment since in favor of carrying the black. I do not forget the often-expressed opinion that, inasmuch as there are whites enough to fight for us, we need not arm the blacks. But if for no other end than to advertise the blacks that their country cares for their friends, and that ours is the side for them to flock to, there should be black regiments. A few such moving down from Canada and the Northern States, at the beginning of the war, would have had the effect to give it a very speedy end. Instead of such a judicious and indispensable advertisement by this or similar means, we have, by employing the army in sending back fugitive slaves, sold the blacks of the whole country that we are their enemies, and that ours is not the side for them to flock to. Was there ever such infatuation as that which has characterized the action of the government respecting the blacks?

I pass on to your resolution. Having long numbered you with the wise and far-seeing statesmen, I was not surprised to find you on the very first day of the session of Congress calling for action on the slave question. You were right in being thus early. The great, serve only to make it stronger. For their like, or virtually like, measure), taking counsel of her fears, and of a jealous as well as a philanthropic, a democratic as well as a slavery-hating Europe, will, by an act of emancipation, identify with her cause her whole black population, bond and free—if not her whole white million of blacks of the whole country and Canada. Why will she do so? Other countries, when hard-pressed by their foes, have done the like. It has been done upon our own continent and in our own day. Entirely true is it that slaveholding *was* the pride of the South. But it is as true that it is so no longer. Her pride is well lowered in her pride to defeat the North. If the South shall take this desperate but decisive step, and make close allies of the millions who can live in her mountains and marshes, and whose women are in all daring and fighting like little Amazons, she will not be able to conquer her even if the nations, impatient of our prolonged derangement of the industry and commerce of the world, shall give us time for it. Again, we shall not be able to carry on the war because the moral sense of the world will forbid it. Nay, when she has put away the sole cause of the war, we shall not ourselves have a heart to conquer her. And then would our loved country be lost! Lost! Lost! No, no, we shall not. Moreover, what of it was left to us would probably have to submit to further national divisions. Our Southern neighbor would most certainly be a monarchy—and that, too, of the most despotic type. For that other type, when the ignorant white and black masses of the South are disjoined from the intelligent North, would be deemed adequate to govern such ignorance? Sad prospect this to our patriotism! Sad prospect this to the friends of freedom! And all, both in the Old World and New, who had trusted that our grand experiment of republicanism would honor forever in the eyes of all mankind that principle and plan of government!

Your resolution takes good ground. I confess that I should not myself shrink from a sweeping act of emancipation by Congress. For, although I have never conceded that the nation could, with clear constitutional right, *act through its Legislature*, in time of peace, directly on the great system of slavery, I have long contended that it could do so in time of war. Moreover, ever since the Southern half of the nation threw away by the Constitution, I have held that it more fully and effectually fight the Northern half, I have been entirely willing that the Northern half should also throw it away, if thereby she could more freely and effectually fight the Southern half. Yes, in all matters touching the stupendous and Satanic rebellion I should be quite willing to see Congress sit and act under that simple law of necessity which knows no other law than itself. Aware, however, as I am of the veneration in which many hold it when they are in straits which make such veneration absurd and ridiculous, and aware, too, as I am, that only a minority of the people believe that the Constitution authorizes it, I have not supposed that Congress would enact a law of emancipation; and therefore, during the present crisis, I have not advocated the enactment of it. Yours is the highest ground to which I had hoped Congress and the country could be brought. I have not dared to hope that it would be brought to take his own way—a way none the less ruinous if it be constitutional instead of proud and insane.

I hope your resolution will be adopted. But will it be executed? It is a resolution in behalf of the use of martial law. But the way he has used it in the proclamations of McClellan and Sherman, Dix and Halleck, leaves but little room to hope that the President will ever come to your resolution. The session your body resolved that slave-catching is not suitable for the army. Nevertheless, the President did not consent to hold back the army from its glaringly unconstitutional and unlawful work. It is true that action was taken, last spring, the ground of your resolution, the war would ere this have been ended; slavery abolished; the country left undivided and indivisible, and with such prospects of a speedy prosperity and happiness before it as the nation has not the eyes of no other nation. And were he even at this late day to take it, there would he all this resulting present and prospective good. But in vain will he take it after a much longer delay.

The other law which Congress might enact (Amendment to the Constitution would probably suffice) would be one for recruiting the army from the blacks as well as the whites. It would be too clearly constitutional to be in danger of encountering the President's veto. Its passage would greatly increase the number of earnest and stalwart devotees of the Slave Power, and by some accessionists in this disguise. They would oppose it on the ground of its conflict with certain State laws. There *would* be the exclusion of all Federal authority and of all right authority in heaven and earth, claim all power over its victims. But were our nation in a life or death struggle with France or England, would Congress allow itself to be controlled by this claim? No! No!! It would insist on its constitutional right "to raise and support armies" from whatever sources and by whatever means it pleased, and to pay such wages as it pleased. It would compel those of whom it will as white men; and seeing the endurance and hardihood of black women, it might draw upon them also. It might, as your resolution could perhaps be construed to mean, "order freedom" to slaves as the reward of service rendered. But I hope it would not. I hope it would never be so unjust as to let the former enslavement of a soldier he a reason for reducing his money pay. No, Congress would no more in the event of such a war suffer itself to be trammelled by State laws than by laws (were a State insane enough to enact them) which should assume the absolute and exclusive control of light-haired white men. It would enlist black-faced men and light-haired men in equal and like terms, and pay whatever State laws might stand in its way. But if Congress would thus act in the case of a foreign war, more emphatically should it when the enemy is a far more fearful one because in our own bosom, and has by the Constitution and by itself off from all liberty to quote the Constitution. I hardly need add that Congress, by simply taking the ground that it will allow neither the complexion nor the condition of men to be an obstacle to its raising armies, would, more effectually than nevertheless effectually, work out the entire abolition of the whole system of American slavery. Will the South say that this is more than she contracted for when she got up this war? Our answer is that she should have more carefully surveyed the exceedingly wide scope of the contract, and more carefully studied its terrific liabilities. In other words, she should have calculated all the cost of the rebellion before plunging into it.

One thing more Stade have said. Not only have our Generals no constitutional right to know men as slaves, and not only have they been kidnappers and made themselves liable to be punished as such for sinking men into slavery, but Congress itself has no right to know men as slaves. Even pro-slavery jurists, however great the latitude which they claim the Constitution gives to State legislation in this matter, do not generally claim that the National Government has the power either to make a slave or the right to recognize any one as a slave.

You propose "compensation" to the loyal masters of slaves. I had always held it to be the duty of the North, being *partners* (mind, I say partners) with the South in the present loss of emancipation; though I had always regarded the claim of property in man with as much abhorrence as I would regard the claim of property in his Maker. But when slavery brought this impoverishing war upon us I felt that we could not justly consent to let the abolition of slavery cost us anything beyond the cost of the war. So expensive as well as so sure a way of abolishing slavery did I feel the war to be, nevertheless, to be, that I should have honorably refused to follow the general example of slaveholders, they ought to be generously dealt with; and I therefore raise no objection to this part of your resolution.

Since beginning this letter I have received the Report of the Secretary of the Navy. In this time of abounding nonsense on the slave question, the good common sense with which he treats it is refreshing. Likewise is the tone of Fremont he recognizes not the chattelhood but the manhood of the slave. It is true that in our confiscation of the slave, as a chattel, the South is by her own definition of him estopped from complaining of us. But at no time and especially now, when we stand in such peculiar need of the favor of God, can we afford to insult Him by classing His children—the beings made in His own image—with brutes and things.

And just as I was finishing this letter, I learned that the President has laid his destroying hand on the best part of Secretary Cameron's Report, as he did on the best part of the Secretary's Order to Gen. Sherman, and on the best part of Gen. Fremont's Proclamation. And on my first cursory reading of the recent Order of the Secretary of the Treasury, concerning the employment of negroes, I fancied that I saw the same dreaded hand in that also. For I could not believe that this accomplished statesman, whose head is as clear as his heart is honest, would of himself be in favor of recognizing slaveholding rights in the Gulf States. Surely no slavery in those States is any longer legalized by laws which the nation has ordered to recognize and enforce. Such laws, so far certainly as the nation could be held by them, were all abrogated some nine to twelve months ago. And to say that the nation is held by laws enacted and revived by Confederate States is as absurd as to say that it is held by the rules of the pirates of the seas. But, on a second and more careful reading of the Order I find no liberty to infer that the Secretary looks upon "Persons held to service for life under State laws" in the Gulf States as still slaves, or to be recognized by the nation as such.

The President was chosen to save the nation. But it turns out that with all his merit he is nevertheless in very great danger to kill it. With such a people will probably let him kill it. For it is not easy to unsettle such deep confidence as they reposed in the man of their choice; and it is not easy to face the shame of confessing that a people so confident and enthusiastic was nevertheless unfortunate.

I am not disposed to underrate the President. I admit that he is more intellectual than nine-tenths of the politicians, and more honest than ninety-nine hundredths of them. I admit, indeed, that no man has made a good President had he only not been trained to worship the Constitution. And I also admit that Constitution-worship is not peculiar to him. It has long been our national idol; and hence is it that it is now worshiping out our national ruin.

Slavery creates many and aggravates more of our evils. If it is not true that our Constitution-worship originated with slavery, it is nevertheless true that this was, in the main, many others, "the devil of hell." The Constitution being the popularly acknowledged protector of slavery, the great work for slavery to do was to deepen and spread this worship of the Constitution. Perhaps no man since this has been done more successfully and fatally than on the ingenuous and receptive mind of the President. So that now, when he is in a position to save his country, and when too he has a heart to save it, his Constitution-worship becomes cause for it. To look to confound the Constitution with the country, and to regard slavery as the preëminent care of the Constitution, he necessarily believes that, in saving slavery, he is saving the country. And what can have no place in a mind educated to look upon slavery as the pet *protege* of the Constitution, and the Constitution to be all one with the country.

Such, I believe, is the President's answer to the question—why he could have his Marshals pursue the poor innocents?—what but that the country (slavery ruling in the Constitution and the Constitution being one with the country) made it necessary? Such, I believe, is the President's answer to the question—why he can similarly employ his Generals? And what, also, but the same answer to the question—why he suffers (for without his sufferance it would not be) the Washington City Prison to be crowded with scores of poor, hapless ones? That accursed prison! Among the most pleasant remembrances of my brief congressional life was my success in getting out of it the black man Noah Ranson. Warm were our thanks to Gen. Sumner and Col. Orr for the help they gave me!

I said that this Constitution-worship is not peculiar to the President. Lord yesterday's speech of Senator Trumbull, of Illinois, (or those at least of Trumbull, of Illinois, for I have not read it,) and for proof that the nation would have been no better off had he been the President. Fully do I agree with him that the provisions of the Constitution are ample in war as well as in peace, and that no suspension is at no time necessary. I am not an opponent of the Constitution. I have, probably, made a dozen speeches for it where the Senator has made one, and written a dozen pages for it where he has written one. But I am in this war fighting this battle in behalf of constitutional liberty it behooves us especially to see to it that the Constitution receives no detriment at our hands"; and when he also says, "We will have gained but little if, in the progress of the war, we lose sight of the expense of the Constitution"—when he says this he sacrifices to the Constitution an importance which does not belong to it. I deny that our object in the war is to save the Constitution apart from saving it from destruction. I deny that our object is the uninteresting and little one of saving a paper which not one American in one hundred has read the whole of, and which not one American in one thousand gives a fair understanding of, and which not one in a better one than which ten thousand men in the country are capable of writing. On the contrary, we are in a struggle to save the country, and to save it to that whatever hazards to the Constitution or to aught else. We are to save from conquest and from the most hateful threatened dominion that which interests the highest and the humblest—her fields and forests, her waters and mountains, her temples and schools, her loved homes of the living and the sacredly loved, and even more sacred, homes of the dead. All these we are to save from dishonorable transfer; and the remainder of the great elements which go to make up a country—the people and the genius, and property, of their government—are to be saved from a barbarous and ruthless destruction. These are the things for which we are waging this war with stupendous and mitigated crime. These are the sources from which we draw our inspiration—and the sons properly employed in attempting to turn us away from them to the dry breasts of the Constitution. The Constitution has its value—its great value. In the present crisis it is to be used as a means to save the country; and the country is not to be involved in even the slightest danger for the sake of saving the Constitution. Our great underlying principles of Free Government will reproduce Constitutions as often as there shall be occasion for them. So, also, shall we if we only true to those principles, every new Constitution will be an improvement upon its predecessor.

I would always consent to fling away the whole Constitution rather than retain it, if it necessarily come to the loss of any of the possessions or the damage of any of the rights or interests which are essentially comprised in the true definition of the word country. I had rather lose ten thousand Constitutions than that the Cotton and Sugar districts pass from out our national limits. Aye, I would rather lose them than lose little Minnehaha. None would consent to lose the "Father of Rivers" at any price; but I would not retain the Constitution in exchange for the least river that waters American soil. Not only that highest peak of the Rocky Mountains where the intrepid "Pathfinder" planted our national standard, but even the humblest hill which casts its shadow upon that soil is not dearer to my patriotism than any Constitution. Yes, and I had rather the Constitution were all blotted out than to see it used (if it permits such use) to rob the least black baby of its least rights. Infinitely dearer to my patriotism as well as to my humanity is that baby than any paper. The Constitution is here to-day and supplanted to-morrow—and probably by a better one. But the sacred rights of that baby are enshrined in the great heart of Heaven, and are unchangeable and eternal.

Oh no, the Constitution is not the country. It is comparatively a petty thing. And if, in this hour of their country's deep danger, the people are to be rallied by no other or no stronger cry than to save the Constitution, then beyond a doubt the country will be lost. But it will not be lost. It was not for a Constitution but for a country that our revolutionary fathers fought. They had a country before they had a Constitution; and they loved it as well before as after the latter was framed. It will be said that it was the latter and dull "Articles of Confederation" which so wrought upon their spirit. But perhaps it will be said that it was the "Declaration of Independence." I admit its power over them; and I would that it had such power over us. We would not have been in the straits we are now in, had that paper been to us, as it was to them, the supreme Constitution—the Constitution of Constitutions. But were we in danger that the revolutionary fathers fought for a Constitution—the Declaration of Independence being the Constitution—yet there is no comparison between ours and theirs—which but furnishes machinery for the working of government, and theirs in whose principles lie the very soul of all free and righteous political government.

Nothing can be more shrivelling to our patriotism than to call it away from the love and inspirations of country to this exaggerated importance of a piece of paper. The Swiss will perish all to save the dear mountains and valleys and homes of Switzerland. But to no such pitch of enthusiasm can they be wrought by a call to keep themselves within the precise limits of a paper in which, if they have like ours, there is neither such sentiment, and nothing but a collection of the driest political rules. "Fatherland" is dear to the German, not because of this or that set of rules, but simply because it is "Fatherland." And what an all-supporting thought of dear America, with or without her Constitution, is in the esteem of our statesmen insufficient to stir American blood, shows to what a miserable pack of statesmen we are reduced.

"Breathes there a man with soul so dead,
Who never to himself hath said,
This is my own, my native land!"

These familiar lines were not written with a paper before the eyes, but with a country in the soul, of the writer.

"To every clime the magnet of the soul
Points with the mystic needle to that pole."

Nor was it to a remembered paper, but to a remembered land, that the writer of these sweet lines ascribes this power.

Sic transeunt memories reminiscitur Argos."

Nor was it to a mere paper, but to his beloved country, that this dying man turned his last thoughts.

But I am making my letter too long.

I am, dear sir, very respectfully your friend,

Gerrit Smith.

THE CONTRABANDS OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

Correspondence of The Tribune.

Port Royal, S. C., Dec. 4.

From Braddock's Point, at the other end of the island, Fort Pulaski is only seven miles distant, and in full view. The three officers already mentioned (Col. Hawley, Dr. Bacon and Col. Ferry) visited the Stoddard plantation on Dafoskie Island opposite, which, like all the plantations in the vicinity, had been deserted. Mr. Stoddard is said to be a brother of the well-known Professor, whose Latin grammar, Andrews & Stoddard's, is the text-book of the schools. The plantation is one of those visited by the Rev. Dr. Southside Adams, and on which, among others, he found the patriarchal institution a school of Christian manners of the Alabama pattern. The inconsiderate Stoddard, however, had left his flock exposed to the influences of the most terrible Abolitionist yet discovered—War, and several of these benighted Africans have already withdrawn themselves from the means of grace hitherto gratuitously supplied by field-drivers and overseers. In the house of Mr. Stoddard the party committed no other outrage than singing "Hail Columbia" and the "Star Spangled Banner" to the music of the piano. They took from the plantation mules, waggons, cattle, and what other things were wanted for the use and subsistence of the force at Braddock's. If any justification were needed for this act at the hands of a war which knows no restriction, it may be found in a letter from Mr. Stoddard to his overseer, which was picked up on the place, directing him to supply the rebel Gen. Drayton with everything he needed.

With the usual readiness of adaptation to circumstances, the troops have busied themselves in carrying on the work of the Lawton plantation, on which they are quartered, so far as was necessary for their purposes, and without any interference with existence of the negroes during the winter. The field hands were made to get in the standing crops, and to put matters in order generally, under direction of the driver or overseer, who regularly reported to Col. Ferry for instructions. The good old way in which the most comfortable on the island, whether for troops or negroes.

Many of the negroes in camp arrived at this point, and many more constantly coming. There is among them all the undoubting conviction that this is a war for their deliverance. No statement concerning them that I hear is so nearly universal as this, and Col. Hawley assures me that in numerous conversations he has not found an exception. "We've been a waitin' and a prayin' for you, Massa, day and night, and we praise the Lord that you are come and hah' your views" is what they have been succeeding.

There is at the Point an old negro named Launace, by far the most interesting contraband of whom any account has yet been given me, but I have been able to gather only enough to excite rather than satisfy curiosity. He is now 75 years old, came or was brought from St. Domingo, where he was free, and where, for six years, he served in what are called the revolutionary wars. He knew Toussaint, Christophe and Hristion, and has many interesting facts those men still fresh in his memory. When asked if he remembered Denmark Vesey, the name for a moment seemed to puzzle him, but instantly his face brightened and he answered "O, yes, mighty well; he knowed him in Charleston, knew him very well"; and he went on to speak of the number of negroes who were murdered in consequence of the plot.

The evidences of inhuman treatment of the slaves by their masters and overseers are painfully abundant. I select one story, in which the names have the air of being adapted to the character of the man and the facts; but it comes there a source that leaves no chance for doubt. A number of negroes crossed over from Savage Island, whose master was Mr. Joseph Cruel. They were examined by Dr. Bacon, and certain deep scars, evidently of burns, on their backs. A new hand

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

WITHOUT CONCEALMENT. WITHOUT COMPROMISE.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1861.

Communications and regular orders are by a careful observance of these two conditions.

Letters containing matter for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed "EDITOR OF THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, No. 5 BEEKMAN STREET, NEW YORK."

Letters enclosing subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business of the office, should be addressed, "PUBLISHER OF THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, No. 5 BEEKMAN STREET, NEW YORK."

THEODORE TILTON, of the editorial staff of *The Independent*, will deliver a Lecture on THE LATEST QUESTIONS OF THE WAR, in the Cooper Institute, on THURSDAY EVENING, Dec. 26th. Tickets, 25 cents. for sale at the Anti-Slavery Office, 5 Beekman street.

THE WAR—NEWS FROM ENGLAND.

The sensation of the week has been the news from England. Even the conflagration of Charleston seems to have been put out by the possibility of a worse one bursting forth in the shape of a war with England. The news which had been anxiously awaited as to the way in which the arrest of Messrs. Mason and Slidell would be taken in that country arrived on Sunday, and at the first glance seemed to be as bad as could be. England was all on fire with indignation, the law advisers of the Crown were clear that the arrest was contrary to the law of Nations, the Cabinet had despatched an *ultimatum* to the Washington government, demanding an apology and the release of the prisoners. These rumors answered well the purpose of the sensation newspapers, and the newsboys reaped a harvest from that sowing. With their characteristic agility our volatile public leaped to the conclusion that war was inevitable, and at hand. We do not deny that it may be so; but we cannot admit that the news brought by the Europa is conclusive of the fact. We are not yet ready to believe that the British government will think such a measure unavoidable or likely to be beneficial to England.

We must remember that the Europa sailed at the very height of the ebullition of the popular passion, which the *ex parte* statement of the Captain of the Trent was intended to produce. We do not yet know what were the discussions of the Cabinet in temper and as to policy, nor the nature of the despatches sent to Lord Lyons. What we know is, the newspaper outcry and the random talk of the Clubs and the streets. Of course, if it be the deliberate wish and design of the great body of the English nation to make this a fighting matter, the government must yield to it. But there is no proof yet that such is the calm, well-reasoned, or even the irresistibly unreasonable public sentiment of the kingdom. It may grow up to it, but we do not think that that day or two's excitement is proof that it has reached the stature of warfare, just yet. That it did not express the exact feelings of the men in power we think may be inferred from the slight change which it produced in the *polemometers*—if we may invent a word—or war-guages, of the Stock market and the Flour market. The slight changes, scarcely observable, in those most sensitive of tests of public feeling, we think can only be accounted for by intimations from high quarters that the real danger was not then imminent. There is no instinct so instructive as that of the Stock markets of Europe. They smell a battle further off than a warhorse, and respond to the slightest rumor that is indicative of real danger to the peace of great nations. Whether this remarkable equanimity in the market for national securities, and the not less observable one, in the present condition of England, in that for bread-stuffs, were produced by intimations from high quarters, or merely the result of the sagacity of operators, we may equally well draw the inference that the peace was not in proportion to the outcry.

The whole question depends upon whether England [illegible] with us or not. If she do, this occasion [illegible] made an amply sufficient one, supposing that our government maintain the ground that it has taken, in common with Congress and the nation. If, on the other hand, she does not wish to be embroiled with us, there are plenty of ways of escape for her. The point of honor is surely one of the very least that was ever raised, viz., that the humane and merciful release of the Trent by Captain Wilkes makes the quarrel deadly, which would have been venial if he had sent her in for trial and condemnation as a prize! Had he taken thirty thousand pounds worth of property from the owners and divided it with his crew, as he might have done, he would have had a tenable case by the laws of nations, but having had the good nature to waive his rights and let the offending craft go its way, the offence becomes a mortal one! There have been many wars on slight pretentions, on differences as to the north and south-west side of a hair, but never on so infinitesimal a one as this. No nation would go to war on such an issue, unless it were waiting and watching for an occasion of quarrel. We cannot think that the English government can be so desirous of fighting us, as the seizing upon this pettifogging distinction, not without a difference, but one of making the lesser act worse than the greater, would prove. That there will be much diplomacy and negotiation and protocolizing, is a matter of course; but that war will come out of it, we cannot yet believe. The cutting-out of the Caroline by Col. McNab from one of our harbors in time of peace, who was knighted and not censured for the act, was a much stronger provocation of war on our side, and our arrest and trial of Macleod, for murdering a man killed in the engagement, a much stronger one on that of England than this one. We did not fight then because it was not worth while at the time. Is there anything in the present state of affairs to make it worth while to other parties now?

The idea that we have any disposition to provoke a quarrel is one of the wildest dreams of the penny-a-liner. Even the blundering of Mr. Seward—and his gift at making a botch of what he undertakes cannot be gainsaid—can hardly reach to that point of absurdity, and, if it could, would surely be checked in its flight by the common sense of Mr. Lincoln. As we said last week, a war with England is *a possibility*, now, which it was not under the dynasty of the slave-drivers; but it is the remotest of probabilities, as a matter of our own seeking. The only object England has to gain is the opening of the Southern ports for the exportation of cotton. Whether this object, supposing it to be gained in its fullest extent, would be worth the loss of Northern trade and Northern bread-stuffs, together with the injury sure to be inflicted on her own commerce by our privateers, be a question which, we may be sure, will be most seriously weighed before a warlike policy is adopted towards us. But this is supposing an impossible case. The derangement in the productive energies of the cotton country, consequent upon our war, must greatly diminish the crops as long as the war lasts, while a quarrel with us would utterly cut off her supplies from us of corn and flour. The effect of such a measure would be to unite all the North as one man in all measures necessary to hinder England from having the price of her interference. And foremost among these would be the emancipation of the slaves wherever our armies appeared, and an invitation to all, everywhere, to seek our protection and to destroy the fatal plants from which are spun their bonds. The ashes of Charleston, and the insurrections of which we hear in the interior, stimulated only by uncertain and ungrounded reports of our friendly purpose towards them, speak of what might be looked for from a policy openly and specifically for the relief of the slaves. As to our own preparation for such a conflict, we are sure that it was never so good. We have everything we need within ourselves that is necessary for the support of life, and almost everything requisite for its superfluities. We have twice as many men in arms as England ever had at any one time, and they are fast gaining the experience and discipline they need to make them a match for the veterans of Europe. That great injury can be inflicted upon us by England we painfully feel. But we are very confident that the harm we should do her would be more by many fold. And most earnestly do we trust that this plague may be averted from both countries.

Should such a calamity befall us, there are no persons who would deplore more sincerely than the American Abolitionists the downfall of England from the proud position she has occupied for four thirty years, in relation to the slave trade and slavery. To see the countrymen of Clarkson, Wilberforce, Macaulay and Granville Sharpe entering into an alliance with the basest of slave-drivers for a share of their plunder of the poor, were indeed a sorry sight, and one, we trust, it is not left for us to see. We admit that our own Northern countrymen, and ourselves by implication, have all along been guilty of all this and more; but we had for years humbly turned our eyes to England as the Mecca of our anti-slavery faith. We still believe that the solid sense of the middle class there will be true to freedom and duty, and prevent a war for the preservation of slavery under a thin pretext of wounded honor. But should the worst come, we are firm in the faith that it will redound to the deliverance of the slave and not to his eternal captivity. The one imagination, in case of an English war, would be how to hinder England from reaping the fruits of her new alliance. To make the cotton country uncasy, to diminish the production as much as possible, as well as to hinder its transportation and shipment, would be the first belligerent duty. And to do this, the opening of cities of refuge for the slaves wherever the National flag may fly, the proclamation of discontent among them by the widest proclamation of this state of things, the depriving their masters of their services, wherever practicable, if not the putting arms into their hands, would become the universal policy of the nation. The sneaking Northern secessionists, disguised as Unionists, who are playing the game of their Southern masters, would be squelched into annihilation, and Emancipation recognized as the one paramount end of the war. We do not wish that the evil may be done that this good may come of it, but we are confident that they are bound together by the links of a Divine logic.

A WORD FROM PARKER PILLSBURY.

[Extract of a private letter to the Editor.]

BOSTON, Dec. 16.

We had a most triumphant meeting of the Essex County Anti-Slavery Society yesterday at Lynn. Garrison never spoke better. Remond, too, seemed to have caught the spirit of the hour, and was, at times, almost sublime.

And we had a most excellent audience, too—the best, as it seemed to me, we ever had in that town. The Petition to Congress is getting an immense length of signatures. Almost everybody seems ready and desirous to sign it. I have always regretted the *compensation clause* in it, and have much hesitancy about urging people to sign it. Indeed, I do not, until I have testified against that part of it. For I do not know that "loyal slaveholders" have any better right to hold my wife or daughter in brutality and slave degradation, and to perpetuate the abomination, unless paid for their virtue, than rebel slaveholders.

One only course seems entirely open to me; and that is, to demand, in the unequivocal spirit of Moses, "*Let my people go*"; or Christ in the spirit of later times, "*Let the oppressed go FREE!*" And all the talk about "loyal slaveholders," and "contrabands," and "compensation," and "military necessity" (meanest of all motives) tends to put the great divine issue, the sin and crime, the guilt and desert of slaveholders and their abettors, wholly out of the account.

We must abolish slavery by abolishing the spirit of slavery in the heart of our sin-hardened nation. Repentance short of that will only have to be "repented of," and the work done over and over again, until there is full surrender to the claims of the Divine government.

DR. OSGOOD'S SERMON.—We published, in our last number, a brief outline of Dr. Osgood's Thanksgiving sermon, drawn up from recollection, and not from the notes. As we have been asked to explain one of the points of the report, we need only say that Dr. Osgood was in pain, and will speak for himself. The sermon did not charge immediate emancipationists, as such, with trying to kindle a murderous servile war, nor did the report do this. What views Dr. Osgood holds of the anti-slavery movement in its leading representations, will be seen from a sermon of his just published in the "Spirit of the Pilgrim" paper, 71, from which we shall quote a passage.—*Christian Inquirer, 14th.*

This, it seems to us, is not quite ingenuous. If *The Inquirer* had published the brief remonstrance against the imputation conveyed in its report of Dr. Osgood's sermon—as we think it ought in all fairness to have done—its readers, instead of being merely puzzled, would have been able to see the point and form an intelligent judgment in the case. What Dr. Osgood said in his *sermon* we do not know, but *The Inquirer's report* contained this sentence:

"Positive anti-slavery ground was taken; yet no favor was shown to *those who would proclaim universal emancipation at once, and try to kindle a murderous servile war.*"

If *The Inquirer*, instead of making an unintelligible allusion to the letter of its correspondent, had recited its own words as above, its readers, we think, would have been astonished not a little by its remark that the "*report* did not charge immediate emancipationists" with the crime of kindling a murderous servile war." If the sentence quoted above, as descriptive of Dr. Osgood's position, does not contain the charge in question, we can conceive of no form of words in which it *could* be conveyed. If the sentence misrepresents the sermon, that is another matter, and one for which Dr. Osgood himself is probably responsible; for we do not believe that the report was from any other than his own pen. We shall endeavor to see the point and form an intelligent judgment in the case. What Dr. Osgood said in his *sermon* we do not know, but *The Inquirer's report* contained this sentence:

Dr. Osgood's "views of the anti-slavery movement in its leading representations" [representatives?] have no special interest for us; it is only when his slurs take the form of specific misrepresentation that they become worthy of notice. Ministers who, for the sake of salary and worldly position, have for years presented the gospel in a mutilated form to gratify the prejudices of wealthy parishioners engaged in the conspiracy to extend and perpetuate slavery, are not likely to think well of the Abolitionists, or to have "views of the anti-slavery movement" worthy the attention of earnest men. The slave can expect no favors at the hands of such ministers until his cause becomes popular. But is it too much to insist that even they shall refrain from gross misrepresentation of the slave's friends?

THE THANKSGIVING SERMON of Rev. Samuel J. May, published in *The State League of Syracuse*, is characteristic of the man who preached it—not only fully up with the times, but in wise advance beyond them—taking the highest ground in favor of absolute justice and liberty—calling the truth, without concealment and without compromise—looking the worse full in the face, yet always finding hope written on its forehead—having a heart big enough to furnish a whole Synod of Old School divines—graceful yet vigorous in style, just mild enough to be fanatical, severe always without bitterness, and eloquent always without effort.

REV. JACOB M. MANNING, junior pastor of the Old South Church in Boston, occupied Dr. Cheever's pulpit on Sunday last. His discourse in the evening upon "The Responsibility of the People for the Character of the Government" gave great satisfaction to a very intelligent and numerous audience. It was strong in argument, apt in illustration, pertinent as well as pungent in allusions to current events, and alike elevated and progressive in the principles sought to be enforced. It would be quite impossible for any one whose moral sensibilities are not greatly impaired to sleep under Mr. Manning's preaching.

PAPERS RELATING TO FOREIGN AFFAIRS.—We have received from the State Department a copy of the Correspondence that has taken place between the United States and Foreign Governments since the breaking out of the Rebellion, and which was recently communicated to Congress by the President. We are indebted for this favor, we presume, to our highly esteemed friend George E. Baker, Esq., late of Albany.

Philadelphia Correspondence.

PHILADELPHIA, Dec. 15th.

THERE were some typographical and other errors in my last, as it appeared in your paper, which I accept as a just punishment of my bad handwriting. A vicious penmanship, when permitted to become a habit, is absolutely incurable. Let the young beware, in this matter, if they would avoid life-long mortification.

We have had a number of lectures delivered in this city lately, of which, by rights, you should have had some account; but the time is passed now for anything more than an allusion to them. The noteworthy one of the series, perhaps, was that of Senator Sherman's on "The Causes of the Rebellion." Your publication of it entire, for which your readers will all have thanked you, supersedes any necessity that might otherwise have existed of giving a description of its character. As you will have noticed, it was faultless in style, lofty in moral tone, exhaustive in its scope, and in everyway up to the demands of the hour. Its eloquence was only exceeded by the thrilling enthusiasm of those who listened to it. The vast hall in which it was delivered was crowded to literal repletion, and the most radical utterances of the speaker were the ones which drew forth the most rapturous applause. It was refreshing to notice the waving of handkerchiefs and other signs of approval from people who, a little while ago, were the bitter opponents of abolitionism.

Geo. W. Curtis, Esq., followed Mr. Sumner, in a week or two after, with another speech of great ability and eloquence, on the same subject. Two years ago, when Mr. Curtis lectured here, it required the whole available police force of the city to protect him from the mob; and last year, the Mayor (to his lasting discredit be it said) refused to let him speak at all, for fear of a recurrence of the same trouble. Now his coming was hailed with general satisfaction; and his address was not only well received, but its most obnoxious heresies were "applauded to the echo which doth applaud again." Even the criticism his hearers had to utter was, that he did not go far enough, and that his demands were not sufficiently exacting.

Dr. Chapin and Henry Ward Beecher have also delivered lectures here, but it was not my fortune to hear them. It is said that neither of these eloquent gentlemen has drawn the houses nor produced the enthusiasm which have been wont to distinguish their lectures in former seasons. "Conservatism" is not a paying quality in the market, and has been laboring in his vocation for the last five years on the coast of Africa. He is a native of this country and was educated in part at the Oneida Institute, under the auspices of Beriah Green. He afterwards—about ten years ago—took up his residence in this city, and spent a year or two with us as a school teacher. He had taken orders in the Episcopal Church, and occasionally, while here, officiated as a clergyman. From this city he went to England, and there, at the Queen's request, was promoted to a further course of study. His health failed him, and he was advised to move to a warmer climate. He chose Africa, the land of his forefathers, as the place most likely to suit him, and there, in Monrovia, the chief town in the colony of Liberia, he has been living ever since. He returns now temporarily to testify to the advantages to be derived, especially by persons of African descent, from a residence in that country, to the improvements which the colony has already made there, native and emigrant, has of late been undergoing, and the bright prospects of regeneration which are at last dawning upon that unhappy continent. Statements to this effect constituted the burden of the discourse I have been alluding to. They were exceedingly interesting, and the address in all its parts was deeply impressive. It was delivered in the Church of the *Epiphany*. The speaker was assisted on the occasion by Rev. Thomas Garnall, who read the service, Rev. Mr. Cracroft, the Rector of the Church, who had invited him to speak, and by whom he was introduced to the meeting, and Rev. Wm. Douglass, the Rector of the African Church of St. Thomas, who sat beside him. The audience was large, the attention profound, and the satisfaction universal.

When I was remarking that the *Epiphany* is the Church from which Dudley Tyng was driven by the Peirce Butlers and Dr. Morris's and others of like ilk; and when it is borne in mind that in the very chancel from which this black man now discoursed so acceptably, stood, but a few months ago, the South Carolina *secessionist* and slaveholder, Rev. Otis Prentiss, who had been substituted for the banished Mr. Tyng, it will be conceded that the change which public opinion has undergone of late is truly extraordinary.

I expressed a sentiment incidentally, a paragraph or two back, in regard to Mayor Henry, which I should have omitted if that gentleman and his friends had been disposed to let by-gones be by-gones. Mr. Henry is a good Mayor. He has discharged his duty with commendable fidelity, and his administration reflects credit upon the city. The eulogy upon his course, contained in a long article upon our police-system which appeared in a late paper, was in general well merited. But the attempt in that article to make the suppression of Curtis's lecture a crowning merit of the Mayor's course was preposterous in the extreme. It only revives the memory of what, for the Mayor's fame, had better be forgotten. The behavior of Alexander Henry, as municipal head of this city, on the 15th of December last year, *was inexcusably weak and criminally foolish*. And his friends have not the virtues to condemn and regret it, let them at least have the decency to keep quiet about it.

The aspect of the war has undergone no material change since I last wrote you. We have news to-day of an extensive conflagration in Charleston, supposed, and with probable correctness, to have been the work of a black incendiary. It is about time for this element of the population of the South to manifest itself. Since the black people of the far South see our armies approach with signs of friendly intent, we may look for corresponding demonstrations on their part. They will, of course, begin insidiously. The torch is the natural resort of the weak when oppressed. One act of incendiarism begets another. Suspicion and barbarous punishment will be the consequence. Bolder acts in retaliation will ensue. Encouraged by the presence of the Federal armies, secret fires will break out into open vindictiveness, and then will come the chapter of horrors which, from the beginning, has been scen to be inevitable. The heart sickens at the thought; but the slaveholders would have it so. The Abolitionists have been laboring for thirty years to save them from this day; but their efforts have been unavailing. On their own heads be the consequences.

P.S.—I spoke unadvisedly when I said that the "aspects of the war had undergone no change." Here comes the Europa, with advices which add fresh complications to our trouble. We are now threatened with a war with England. If the general temper of the people of that country should prove to be in keeping with the belligerent tone of the London press, then such a war would appear to be a thing not to be avoided. On the other hand, if the disposition toward our slaveholding States, of the people who control the policy of that country, should be such as to make a war with us a logical necessity: then—deplorable, unutterably deplorable as it would be, it is a thing not to be dreaded. There is a great controversy now going on in the world between Slavery and Freedom. This country is at present the chief theatre. This nation—that is, the people who control its policy—are on the side of Freedom. They must hold their position at every cost and against all comers. We had counted upon, and do yet hope for, the support of England in this controversy. If disappointed, we must act without her; and, even if she so elect, against her. The voice of the good in England—the people who love justice better than all other things—has not yet been heard. Until they shall have had time and opportunity to speak, the upshot of the present imbroglio cannot be foreseen.

The question between the two governments is one susceptible of an easy adjustment, if the temper of the parties interposed no obstacle. A comparison of notes, on the part of those whose duty it is, will bring out all the facts in the case; if these should fail to put—of their own force—a satisfactory turn to the controversy, let the matter be referred to an umpire whose decision shall be final. In the capture of the rebel commissioners, from a British ship, we were either right or wrong. If wrong, our duty is proper reparation at any cost; if right, there should be an end to all demands upon us. I will not anticipate a war with England. It is impossible that a country which has settled so many questions with us of national honor and international law, should avail herself of our distress to appeal in this case to the sword.

Our Boston Correspondence.

FROM AN OCCASIONAL CORRESPONDENT.

THE SUMMARY.—The Lesson of the Day taught in many Dialects.—Women's *argumentum ad hominem*.—The War as a Purifier.—The Wise Men of Massachusetts.—Mr. Waterston's Lectures.—The Boston edition of *In Memoriam*.—Mr. Starr King.—Mr. Emerson.—Who should be supported.—Patriarchs in their pecuniary relations.—The Newspapers as a Commercial Speculation.—The Conclusion of the whole matter.

BOSTON, Dec. 9, 1861.

THE WAR is a good teacher, even when it does not seem to be giving the direct instruction in which we are most interested. A contemptible absorption of merely personal responsibilities, a partial merging of prosperous domestic selfishness in a public idea—these are the grammar-lessons by which that immortal Declaration of our fathers may at length be read aright. I look upon the effective and recognized part taken by women in the public work of these times as an experience of the highest importance. They have not only given absorbing attention to the war, but have shown much executive ability in arranging complicated systems of charitable action. I could give you many instances of their nice tact and calm judgment in matters that in common times would be assumed beyond "the sphere" of the ideal woman of selfish or ignorant men. It is an advantage of no doubtful significance that they have the opportunity of enlarged and important usefulness, without losing the feminine picturesqueness which prejudice assigns to their wholly depressing shadows. One welcomes any silent argument of facts bearing upon a question, which, in the present state of public sentiment, suffers more than any other from over-declamation. The proper sphere of woman is undoubtedly the largest which, under a just equality with man, she is able to attain. But practical demonstration seems almost the only power that can successfully cope with the tenacity of human prejudice upon this subject. Any fresh, unbiased testimony, which I may not have laid in a store of unused Bibles or purchased a bankrupt stock of jewelry, wherewith to recompense those who sustain THE STANDARD, I am confident that the conventional heading (should you choose to adopt it) would be equally significant to intelligent people. At present you have a right to apply to a far larger community than that which has hitherto accepted the position of Abolitionists. Whether the logic necessary for the belief formerly advocated in THE STANDARD is admitted or not, there is no doubt that much of the culture, intellect, and even wealth of the country is in agreement with it to-day. If men have not yet learned to abhor slavery as a moral evil, they are well nigh persuaded that it is an economical mistake. The benignant and Scriptural trafficker in humanity turns out to be decidedly expensive as a model Democrat. Those four hundred thousand missionaries for the conversion of the heathen might be as dear as ever to the pulpit, but it certainly cannot afford the ecclesiastical salvation of the negro at the present prices of that elegant luxury. The curse of Canaan may still be the purest gem of theology; but, instead of the old *Credo*, there runs responsive a commercial grumble, "*Peacebreakers are not purchasers, and Patriarchs don't pay!*" In this state of things the Abolitionists have an equitable claim upon large bodies of men. Every fact which can be presented to their unbiased, and the arduous testimony they have borne. Yet their right to consideration may be urged upon even broader grounds. We might entirely ignore any question of slavery, and say that all patriotic and thinking men must have learned the importance of sustaining a high and honest *Individuality* amid the shifting expediencies which have marked our history. Every now and then laments (more or less to the purpose) are heard over the great multiplication of newspapers, and the habits of personal thought or serious study that they discourage. And, indeed, a good deal might be said on the quality of modern character caused by the diffusive and indolent reading of insincere writing. The deluge of plausible literature, while enormously increasing the number of those who read without thought, does not proportionally increase the number who are able to detect a fallacy or penetrate a principle. "In America," writes M. de Tocqueville, "faith in public opinion becomes a species of religion, and the majority is its prophet." And it requires but little observation to appreciate the warning of that eminent critic, when he declares that the great danger of our Democracy is not of too great liberty, but of too easily submission—not of anarchy, but of servility. And in this direction the current of the time have seen the real peril of modern society. The noble essay on Liberty, published a year or two years ago, by John Stewart Mill, contains forebodings even more applicable to America than to England. "Individuals," he says, "once conquered society; now society has conquered individuals; and large masses of people are growing up incapable of strong wishes or powerful pleasures, and generally without feelings." You may think this somewhat exaggerated by his Republican *then* the teacher and apostle re-enacted, and turned Conservative. This is what *the Democrats* say, and they generally add, "We like those Republicans who have pluck and honesty enough to stand by their principles."

Mr. Sumner gave slavery a tremendous hit right in the presence of President Lincoln last week, when delivering his eulogy upon Baker.* It was one of the most eloquent passages we ever heard from American Senate, and produced a thrilling effect. Mr. Lincoln actually started from his seat as Sumner uttered the word "*murdered*"—it was slavery that *murdered* Baker—and the gallery audience found it difficult to suppress its enthusiasm. Such is the change in the Senate, that the man who, one year ago, was in danger of his life for uttering strong sentiments on the subject of slavery now utters them without fear of reproach or criticism from the lips of any save traitors.

There is something of a stir in political circles at the prospect of a foreign war, though it is the opinion of our wise men—if we have any—that there will be no war with England. We cannot well manage such a conflict at the present time, and ought to avoid it if possible. Mr. Seward's policy is a wretched one in this respect, and if he is not overruled by the President, he will ruin the nation. Mr. Lincoln is however, a man of sound mind; and will not rush heedlessly into hostilities with the strongest naval power in the world.

AVOC.

* See Congressional proceedings in another column.—

PRO-SLAVERY DEMOCRACY ILLUMINATED.—A correspondent of Bennett's *Herald*, travelling in New England for the purpose of noting the signs of what that paper calls a great revolution in public opinion—a revolution which is to make the Abolitionists laugh and bring in a general millennium for the sham Democracy—sets the down among other items of evidence:

"WHAT AN OLD HUNKER DEMOCRAT THINKS.—He is a burly, robust, full-faced, florid complexioned gentleman of about fifty years old. He has a large interest in the preservation of the Union, and, although he has many friends South, the government that protects him and his property commands his support, perhaps a little unwillingly. He is slightly believes, however, that nobody but Democrats can save the country from ruin. Good to equal Gen. North when 'Honest Old Abe's' time is out, an old hunker Democrat will succeed him, the Republican party gone to destruction, the sunny South restored to the Union, and we shall all go together again a happy family of sovereign (?) states. He is looking for a little Rebel Bread, to be sure, but that will soon be cheap enough, and hunker Democrat that didn't, even here in New England, would be poor into the North. He likes Southern Democrats? The doctrine of emancipation is 'hell-fire' to him, and he don't like the idea of its diffusion. The word 'niggerism' is only overcome by a spit-mode effort, and any mention of a first class, red hot oath, too good to spend on a mere edition.

"'What in hell are you going to do with the damned niggers after you force them from the South to equal Gen North?' 'Why, compete with our white, hardworking mechanics, and gradually those with that damned delicious brogue will be driven out of employment, and the poor into the Northern States, to live on soup and damned pork and beans out of the damned public charity of our old-fashioned Union and Democracy.' 'Well, I'll be damned d-double-d-damned if I swallow that Seward and Sumner, and Wilson, and Cameron, and all the rest of the damned Abolitionists, and go to the poor-house or Darnestown before I agree to anything of the sort.'"

We print this outburst of disgusting profanity because it serves to illustrate and to illuminate the sort of "Democracy" which arrays itself everywhere against Abolitionists, and with which the New York *Observer* and other pro-slavery religious sheets are in complete identified. There is not a grog-shop, nor any other haunt of vice, in all the land, where *The Observer's* hostility to emancipation and its abuse of the Abolitionists would not be commended.

Our Washington Correspondence.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 16, 1861.

THE anti-slavery contest goes steadily onward in Congress. If Gen. McClellan does nothing in the field, the anti-slavery members of Congress are not idle in the National Legislature. It is possible that it is true as many persons have charged, that a debate on the question which so deeply interests the country is not wise at present, till some practical measure is to be voted upon; but that it is about time for Congress to assert itself in reference to some of the questions agitating the country now can only be denied by those who are of the exchange of prisoners. No one can read our last Congress was interfering with the business of General McClellan and the Commander-in-Chief, Lincoln, because it considered a resolution asking the government to consent as specially as possible to such an exchange, and finally passed it. Yet here was really an implied censure of the government for its failure to inform some measure for an exchange of prisoners. But when the slavery question is touched, absurdity and meanness step out. "Congress should not attempt to manage the war—let the President and Gen. McClellan attend to these matters!" But Congress means to have its voice in reference to the purposes of the war, and will not be deterred therefrom by the cry of pro-slavery politicians. But let not the friends of anti-slavery be deceived by any vote already taken in Congress upon anti-slavery resolutions offered; nor should they imagine that it is, *necessarily*, the wisest course to press resolutions of this character before Congress at this time. Every attempt of this kind which has yet been made has proved a failure, and the fact that the House of Representatives laid the very proper resolution offered by Mr. Lovejoy on the table has been quoted in very influential circles to prove that a majority of the Congress is "conservative" on the slavery question. But there is nothing in there no such thing. Wait, and notice the vote of the House on any practical measure which shall be presented—see what the vote will be on Bingham's Confiscation bill. This will be a test question, and not any vote which has yet been taken on a resolution.

Whether Congress will take steps toward the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia at this session is doubtful. Resolutions looking to such a result have been already introduced, and the friends of Congress as well as the city Common Council. But there is a very strong feeling among a large class of Republicans against doing anything which may have the appearance of haste, which may look like legislation for a divided instead of a united country—this is their language—and it will probably prevent any action for some time upon the subject. A bill, confiscating all the property of rebels, and emancipating their slaves, will scarcely pass the House; and even if Mr. Lincoln will scarcely venture to veto it, especially as it will command a two-thirds vote of both branches. This is as far as the present Congress will go till further developments at the South. The most important work immediately at hand is to ascertain if the 600,000 loyal troops in the field have the courage to fight the enemy. Here we have 200,000 troops within forty miles of Washington, but Gen. McClellan dare not strike a blow at the enemy though he has supposed that the enemy has more than 100,000 troops to meet an assault on the lines north of the Potomac. It is useless to deny that there is much anxiety and dissatisfaction on this subject. If an army of more than half a million cannot afford to wage offensive war upon the rebels, at what point in the campaign can the government troops *begin* to put down the rebellion? Already we have a debt which, at the close of this fiscal year, will amount to five or six hundred millions. People ask—members of Congress ask—how much longer can we stand such expenditures as rest upon the basis of a do-nothing policy? There is a disposition to keep as quiet under the circumstances as is possible, but there is deep anxiety on the subject, such an anxiety as it is impossible to cover up; and, before spring, unless something is done in the military line beside build wooden huts and review the troops, it will burst forth on the floor of Congress.

Much will depend upon the committee against Mr. Seward, inasmuch as he *seems* to imagine that the result of the contest rests upon his foreign diplomacy, and not upon the success of government troops in the field. As important member of Congress remarked, after looking over the thick pamphlet of foreign correspondence sent to Congress by Mr. Seward, "He puts the cars in the place of the horse. He labors very hard to convince the country that he is going to do something to put down the rebellion, yet seems to care little as to the fact itself." As a whole, none will deny that Mr. Seward has managed our foreign affairs with ability, but why he should have gone so far out of his way to tell the governments of England and France that slavery would not be affected by the war, and that if the rebellion was successful, or not successful, still slavery would occupy the same position of security as before the breaking out of the war—we cannot see. Mr. Seward is not popular with the present Congress. The earnest Republicans distrust him, and the Democrats will not yet forgive him for his "irrepressible" doctrines of two years ago. They say that he was the leader of the radical Republicans so long as it was in their power to do any harm, and when the deed was done—when the South was provoked into rebellion by Mr. Seward's policy—he was exemplified by his Republican colleagues *then* the teacher and apostle re-enacted, and turned Conservative. This is what *the Democrats* say, and they generally add, "We like those Republicans who have pluck and honesty enough to stand by their principles."

Mr. Sumner gave slavery a tremendous hit right in the presence of President Lincoln last week, when delivering his eulogy upon Baker.* It was one of the most eloquent passages we ever heard from American Senate, and produced a thrilling effect. Mr. Lincoln actually started from his seat as Sumner uttered the word "*murdered*"—it was slavery that *murdered* Baker—and the gallery audience found it difficult to suppress its enthusiasm. Such is the change in the Senate, that the man who, one year ago, was in danger of his life for uttering strong sentiments on the subject of slavery now utters them without fear of reproach or criticism from the lips of any save traitors.

There is something of a stir in political circles at the prospect of a foreign war, though it is the opinion of our wise men—if we have any—that there will be no war with England. We cannot well manage such a conflict at the present time, and ought to avoid it if possible. Mr. Seward's policy is a wretched one in this respect, and if he is not overruled by the President, he will ruin the nation. Mr. Lincoln is however, a man of sound mind; and will not rush heedlessly into hostilities with the strongest naval power in the world.

AVOC.

* See Congressional proceedings in another column.—

PRO-SLAVERY DEMOCRACY ILLUMINATED.—A correspondent of Bennett's *Herald*, travelling in New England for the purpose of noting the signs of what that paper calls a great revolution in public opinion—a revolution which is to make the Abolitionists laugh and bring in a general millennium for the sham Democracy—sets the down among other items of evidence:

"WHAT AN OLD HUNKER DEMOCRAT THINKS.—He is a burly, robust, full-faced, florid complexioned gentleman of about fifty years old. He has a large interest in the preservation of the Union, and, although he has many friends South, the government that protects him and his property commands his support, perhaps a little unwillingly. He is slightly believes, however, that nobody but Democrats can save the country from ruin. Good to equal Gen North when 'Honest Old Abe's' time is out, an old hunker Democrat will succeed him, the Republican party gone to destruction, the sunny South restored to the Union, and we shall all go together again a happy family of sovereign (?) states. He is looking for a little Rebel Bread, to be sure, but that will soon be cheap enough, and hunker Democrat that didn't, even here in New England, would be poor into the North. He likes Southern Democrats? The doctrine of emancipation is 'hell-fire' to him, and he don't like the idea of its diffusion. The word 'niggerism' is only overcome by a spit-mode effort, and any mention of a first class, red hot oath, too good to spend on a mere edition.

"'What in hell are you going to do with the damned niggers after you force them from the South to equal Gen North?' 'Why, compete with our white, hardworking mechanics, and gradually those with that damned delicious brogue will be driven out of employment, and the poor into the Northern States, to live on soup and damned pork and beans out of the damned public charity of our old-fashioned Union and Democracy.' 'Well, I'll be damned d-double-d-damned if I swallow that Seward and Sumner, and Wilson, and Cameron, and all the rest of the damned Abolitionists, and go to the poor-house or Darnestown before I agree to anything of the sort.'"

We print this outburst of disgusting profanity because it serves to illustrate and to illuminate the sort of "Democracy" which arrays itself everywhere against Abolitionists, and with which the New York *Observer* and other pro-slavery religious sheets are in complete identified. There is not a grog-shop, nor any other haunt of vice, in all the land, where *The Observer's* hostility to emancipation and its abuse of the Abolitionists would not be commended.

JOHN STANTON GOULD will deliver a free lecture on Crime and its Causes, at Friends' meeting-house in 20th street, on Monday evening next. Mr. Gould has paid much attention to the subject on which he proposes to speak, and we feel sure that his lecture will be worth hearing.

CASH BETTER THAN LASH.—*The Times* states that the contrabands at Fortress Monroe, under Gen. Wool's system of *cash* instead of *lash* as a motive, "have paid for their own support, saved very large sums to the government, and accumulated a fund of over $3,000 in the Quartermaster's hands." Does not this fact furnish a satisfactory and conclusive answer to the question, so often repeated, "What would you do with the slaves?"

THE WAR—NEWS FROM ENGLAND.

The sensation of the week has been the news from England. Even the conflagration of Charleston seems to have been put out by the possibility of a worse one bursting forth in the shape of a war with England. The news which had been anxiously awaited as to the way in which the arrest of Messrs. Mason and Slidell would be taken in that country arrived on Sunday, and at the first glance seemed to be as bad as could be. England was all on fire with indignation, the law advisers of the Crown were clear that the arrest was contrary to the law of Nations, the Cabinet had despatched an *ultimatum* to the Washington government, demanding an apology and the release of the prisoners. These rumors answered well the purpose of the sensation newspapers, and the newsboys reaped a harvest from that sowing. With their characteristic agility our volatile public leaped to the conclusion that war was inevitable, and at hand. We do not deny that it may be so; but we cannot admit that the news brought by the Europa is conclusive of the fact. We are not yet ready to believe that the British government will think such a measure unavoidable or likely to be beneficial to England.

We must remember that the Europa sailed at the very height of the ebullition of the popular passion, which the *ex parte* statement of the Captain of the Trent was intended to produce. We do not yet know what were the discussions of the Cabinet, in temper and as to policy, nor the nature of the despatches sent to Lord Lyons. What we know is, the newspaper outcry and the random talk of the Clubs and the streets. Of course, if it be the deliberate wish and design of the great body of the English nation to make this a fighting matter, the government must yield to it. But there is no proof yet that such is the calm, well-reasoned, or even the irresistibly unreasonable public sentiment of the kingdom. It may grow up to it, but we do not think that that day or two's excitement is proof that it has reached the stature of warfare, just yet. That it did not express the exact feelings of the men in power we think may be inferred from the slight change which it produced in the *polemometers*—if we may invent a word—or war-guages, of the Stock market and the Flour market. The slight changes, scarcely observable, in those most sensitive of tests of public feeling, we think can only be accounted for by intimations from high quarters that the real danger was not then imminent. There is no instinct so instructive as that of the Stock markets of Europe. They smell a battle further off than a warhorse, and respond to the slightest rumor that is indicative of real danger to the peace of great nations. Whether this remarkable equanimity in the market for national securities, and the not less observable one, in the present condition of England, in that for bread-stuffs, were produced by intimations from high quarters, or merely the result of the sagacity of operators, we may equally well draw the inference that the peace was not in proportion to the outcry.

The whole question depends upon whether England [illegible] with us or not. If she do, this occasion [illegible] made an amply sufficient one, supposing that our government maintain the ground that it has taken, in common with Congress and the nation. If, on the other hand, she does not wish to be embroiled with us, there are plenty of ways of escape for her. The point of honor is surely one of the very least that was ever raised, viz., that the humane and merciful release of the Trent by Captain Wilkes makes the quarrel deadly, which would have been venial if he had sent her in for trial and condemnation as a prize! Had he taken thirty thousand pounds worth of property from the owners and divided it with his crew, as he might have done, he would have had a tenable case by the laws of nations, but having had the good

Our Western Correspondence.

CINCINNATI, O., Dec. 10.

To see this nation looking hopefully up to, and waiting patiently upon George B. McClellan, as one waiting to bring them any good, struck me a month ago in so ludicrous a light, as to excite more of mirth or sad mournful. When Hercules went to unbind Prometheus, he sailed, as the story stands in Seneca, the whole length of the ocean in an earthen pot (*orcum*). Caesar, when he went South to break the chains of Rome, and set Humanity free, would matter but little who was her Commander General—whether a glorious man of war or an arrant poltroon. But, as America has no such divine necessity, it is simply painful to see its fortunes entrusted to that small man alluded to. A small man he is in every regard, and owes his elevation only to the notoriety of escaping things to climb where lions rejoice ability of escaping things to climb where lions reign. The "conduct of Life" I read that "genius cannot. In which of the departments of life, and then fly to physicians, not that they have any faith in them, but in despair of themselves." What other reason can be assigned for the elevation of this railroad president to his present position? There was not one thing in his campaign in Western Virginia to justify it; on the contrary, the only successful expedition there was one which disobeyed his orders, he taking credit. His proclamation on entering Virginia was one which should damn him under any circumstances, but his actions were have placed him under the ban of the civilized world. remarkable only for their vanity and the silliness of that One who gravely announced to the War Department that he had cleared Western Virginia of rebels! No sooner was he declared Secession Colonel of California, Mr. Browning of Illinois, Mr. Cowan of Pa., Mr. Dixon of Ct., and Mr. Sumner of Massachusetts. The address of the Senator last named was one of those finished productions to which no mere sketch can do any sort of justice. Our limits permit us to give only the peroration:

> The question is painfully asked, who was the author of this treachery, and who betrayed the Senate Chamber, as it has already filled the country, with mourning. Such a crime is a strong desire to hold somebody responsible, where so many are involved unprofitably. But we need not appoint committees or study conjectures. We shall find precisely who took this precious life. The great criminal is easily detected. The guns, the halls, and the men of our country, are all little importance. It is the Power behind them all, saying, 'the State, it is I,' which took this precious life; and this Power is Slavery. The unclean hands which have departed brother came from slavery. Every gaping wound in the lifeless bosom testifies against slavery. Every drop of his generous blood cries out from the ground against slavery. Do you doubt our conclusion? Look, then, at the humble agent and to dismiss the giant principal. We shall we do great service if we merely criticise some local blunder, or some act of treason in the midst of war. We must go deeper, and lay the axe untouched that fatal influence which has immediate instruments, and will be, if any real investigation of this case is made, be traced, to his door. *But that investigation will not take place, for military reasons.*

To one who knows the associations and antecedents of this man it is intrinsically credible that, as far as any principle is concerned, he might have been indifferent only on one side or the other; and, if slavery and freedom were at direct issue, his natural place would have been on the side of slavery. Of the moral forces at work, under masque of North and South, he is as ignorant as a Hottentot.

Some have imagined that there is something in his personnel calculated to inspire the hopes which our rulers have reposed upon him. Nothing but the toadyism of N. P. Willis has ever discovered anything of the kind. The other day I sat an hour with a young man who had gone out at the first tap of the drum, and been under McClellan in Western Virginia, and returned lately with typhoid, from which he was recovering. I asked him about McClellan, as viewed by his men; and this gentleman, who has a fine clear mind and a high culture, informed me that the general idea among the soldiers is that Gen. McClellan "is a great little man. He knows how to obey orders implicitly, but is always weak in giving them. Has no *suggestiveness* of mind. The soldiers liked him; always believed him disposed to do them justice; but in not one soldier's breast did he ever kindle a spark of enthusiasm; and when told that Gen. McClellan was to leave them for Washington, they would not have tossed a cap as to whether he should go or stay, as far as they were concerned." This is as good a report of Gen. McClellan as I have been able to get from the large number of soldiers with whom I have conversed.

Meantime, the world looking on sees that the man who is to do anything about Washington must be a large man—a man to enkindle the enthusiasm of soldiers, and not—*not whine* for—the "confidence" of the nation: He asks for the people's "patience and confidence"—the moderate man! The first he has pressed on until it is ready to break, and, I trust, will soon; and as for the latter, has he never heard of an old proverb which reads: "Confidence is a plant of slow growth?" If he wishes to find any such plant in his garden, by spring, 'tis time he was planting it.

But George B. McClellan will make no move, therefore no mistake; he counts that the people are ready to sustain the sneaking, cowardly policy of sitting, at cost of a million a day, on the banks of the Potomac, in a battle of endurance, to see which can wait the longest, and whose purse will hold out the longest. I know well enough what Gen. McClellan has been fulfilling his whole power in reorganizing the army of the Potomac; he can hold the place he has now only at the cost of the demoralization of the entire country on the political issue, and the moral position toward this rebellion.

But why should we waste thoughts upon the donkeys in lions' hides, near which fatal namasking hands are hovering, when, in the ranks of God's own bodyguard, captains are falling? Alas! when such men as George D. Curtis talk about a "national honor" as compatible with the buying and selling of human souls and bodies; when such a man as Henry Ward Beecher falls into the path, and sinks to the rear with the crouching and abject, what shall we say? Last week, I read, in the vilest of Missouri pro-slavery sheets, the report of Henry Ward Beecher's Thanksgiving Discourse, under the joyful caption: "A Damicl come to Judgment!" Our own pro-slavery Democratic sheet, the Cincinnati *Enquirer*, had the same, headed—" Sound Views from an Unexpected Quarter." Not a vile sheet support ing human bondage, from *The Herald* and *Express* of New York, to the wretched, filthy sheets of Kentucky, but are hailing as a co-worker Henry Ward Beecher!

I read them all—for they were flaunted in my face by the foes of freedom—and for the first time I knew the fall plaintiveness of the old Prophet's words : "They shall be as when a standard-bearer fainteth."

I read the sermon from which they gained strength, and from my heart welled up a tear, which blotted one more honored and trusted name from my calendar, already, alas! too brief.

> We that had loved him so, followed him, honored him,
> Lived in his mild and magnificent eye,
> Learned his great language, caught his clear accents,
> Made him our pattern to live and to die!
>
> * * * * * * *
>
> Life's night begins: let him never come back to us!
> There would be no strength nor beauty in it,
> Were it possible even on our part—the glimmer of twilight,
> Never glad confident morning again!

Proceedings of Congress.

In the Senate, on Wednesday, Dec. 11, Mr. TURNER presented a petition for the emancipation of the slaves, those of the rebels without compensation, and those of Union men to be paid for.

Mr. MORRILL, of Maine (Rep.), introduced a joint resolution for the confiscation of the property of rebels, and for the satisfaction of the claims of loyal men.

Mr. NESMITH, of Oregon (Opp.), announced the death of his late colleague, Edward Dickinson Baker, United States Senator from Oregon, paid a brief and feeling tribute to his worth and bravery, and offered the usual resolution of respect in his memory. Mr. NESMITH was followed by Mr. McDOUGALL and Latham of California, Mr. Browning of Illinois, Mr. Cowan of Pa., Mr. Dixon of Ct., and Mr. Sumner of Massachusetts. The address of the Senator last named was one of those finished productions to which no mere sketch can do any sort of justice. Our limits permit us to give only the peroration:

> The question is painfully asked, who was the author of this treachery, and who betrayed the Senate Chamber, as it has already filled the country, with mourning. Such a crime is a strong desire to hold somebody responsible, where so many are involved unprofitably. But we need not appoint committees or study conjectures. We shall find precisely who took this precious life. The great criminal is easily detected. The guns, the halls, and the men of our country, are all little importance. It is the Power behind them all, saying, 'the State, it is I,' which took this precious life; and this Power is Slavery. The unclean hands which have departed brother came from slavery. Every gaping wound in the lifeless bosom testifies against slavery. Every drop of his generous blood cries out from the ground against slavery. Do you doubt our conclusion? Look, then, at the humble agent and to dismiss the giant principal. We shall we do great service if we merely criticise some local blunder, or some act of treason in the midst of war. We must go deeper, and lay the axe untouched that fatal influence which has immediate instruments, and will be, if any real investigation of this case is made, be traced, to his door. *But that investigation will not take place, for military reasons.*

Mr. BINGHAM, of Ohio (Rep.), introduced a bill to forfeit the property and slaves of persons who are in armed rebellion against the United States.

Mr. LOVEJOY, of Illinois (Rep.), offered a resolution instructing the Committee on Foreign Affairs to report a bill establishing diplomatic relations between the United States and Hayti, and Liberia. A debate arising, the resolution lies over.

The consideration of the preamble and resolution condemning the order of Gen. Halleck in respect to fugitive slaves (see last week's STANDARD, under head of Congressional Proceedings) was announced.

Mr. STEVENS, of Pennsylvania (Rep.), said: " If the passage of the resolution is to have a good effect, especially in the West, the sooner it is passed the better. The allegation of Gen. Halleck, as an excuse for his order that fugitive slaves, whom he should restore, and from his lines, give information to the enemy, is too bold a pretence to justify him. The return of fugitive slaves was intended by Gen. McClellan, and had been followed by Gens. DIX, Kelly and others. All these cases are a disgrace to the profession of arms. If such return of fugitives has been made by order of the Commanding-Generals, they deserve to have their epaulettes torn from their shoulders, and if it has been done by higher authority, 'then God forgive them, for they know not what they do.'"

Mr. LOVEJOY, of Illinois (Rep.), expressed his honest conviction that such orders were issued by the commanding Generals of the army, without orders from the President. He was satisfied of this fact. While the President does not remove and cashier them as he (Lovejoy) would, he did not believe that they (the commanding Generals) had power for any such inhuman and shameful measures. As far as can be ascertained, the sentiment of every General in the field is the same as these are true, loyal and faithful. While, with Gen. McDowell, previous to the battle of Bull Run, that General carefully inquired of every black fugitive with regard to the movements and positions of the enemy, and the topography of the country. The slaves are the most reliable scouts we have, and he thought it most shameful, mean and contemptible, for Major-Generals of the army to slander a race already proscribed.

Mr. BLAIR, of Missouri, said Mr. Stevens had evidently misunderstood Gen. Halleck's order. The latter (Halleck) had informed him he had no idea of doing anything contrary to the law of the last Congress and the policy of the government. It was a gross injustice to Gen. Halleck to say that he has ordered the return of fugitive slaves to disloyal masters. His simple purpose was to exclude from his camp such persons as could convey information to the enemy.

After some further discussion, the resolution, on motion of Mr. Vallandigham, was laid on the table—yeas 78, nays 45.

The resolution heretofore introduced looking to a recognition of the independence of Hayti and Liberia, coming up,

Mr. COX, of Ohio (Opp.), offered an amendment that the Committee on Foreign Affairs inquire into the expediency of doing so, instead of the direct instructions to report a bill for that purpose.

Mr. COX said that instead of bringing up so frequently the "pestiferous negro," the country expected Congress to give a little time to more important subjects.

The amendment was agreed to and the resolution adopted.

In the Senate, Dec. 12th, nothing of importance in relation to slavery was done. ▪

In the House, on the same day, Mr. BLAIR, of Missouri (Rep.), rising to a question of privilege, caused to be read the following extract as further explanatory of the remarks which he made on Mr. Lovejoy's resolution, having in view the revocation of a part of Gen. Halleck's general order relative to fugitive slaves. The resolution, it has already been stated, was laid on the table.

> HEADQUARTERS, }
> DEPARTMENT OF THE MISSOURI. }
>
> *Hon. F. P. Blair, Washington.*
>
> SIR: Your letter of the 4th inst. is just received. Order No. 3 was, in my mind, clearly a military necessity. Unauthorized persons, black or white, free or slave, must be kept out of our camps unless we are willing to publish to the enemy everything we do or intend to do. It was a military, and, not a political order. I am ready to carry out any lawful instructions in regard to fugitive slaves which my superiors may give me, and to enforce any laws which Congress may pass, but I cannot make law and will not violate it. You know my private opinion on the policy of confiscating the slave property of rebels in arms. If Congress shall pass it, you may be certain that I shall enforce it. Perhaps my policy as to the treatment of rebels and fugitive slaves may be found in my letter of March 13, issued the day before your letter was written, as I could now describe it. Yours truly,
>
> H. HALLECK.

Mr. LOVEJOY, of Illinois (Rep.), in explanation said, in introducing his resolution, he, of course, did not desire to revoke an order that Gen. Halleck did not mean to make. He would say in regard to himself and others, that an attempt has been made to convey the impression that he and others design to support the war, not against the rebellion, but against slavery. He believed in taking away all the property of rebels and emancipating their slaves as the most efficient means of suppressing the rebellion. Others might differ from him as to the policy, but while he was in favor of carrying on the war for the specific and ultimate purpose of liberating slaves, he was against carrying it on for protecting slavery. He was opposed to the army being employed as slave-catchers, and to giving orders to throw back on their masters those who desire to escape, whether free or slave, black or white. Consequently, he thought if any orders had been given to drive slaves back into the hands of secessionists or into the hands of slaveholders, whether loyal or not, it was contrary to sound policy in carrying on the war and suppressing the rebellion. In conclusion, he said, if any soldiers want to fight simply for the purpose of returning fugitive slaves, he had only to remark that the army would be stronger without them.

Mr. ELIOT, of Massachusetts (Rep.), proceeded to explain and enforce his resolution, declaring that the war has for its object the suppression of the rebellion and the reëstablishment of the rightful authority of the National Constitution and the laws over the entire extent of our common country, and advising that military orders for the emancipation of the slaves be used whenever the same shall avail to weaken the power of the rebels in arms or in sustaining the military power of the loyal forces. Slavery, he argued, was at the root of the rebellion, and, therefore, is an outlaw. There was no doubt loyal men should be protected, because it should be understood that the men who stand up for the right should be held close to our hearts. This war has been carried on as anti-slavery, and it was in such thing. Though slavery caused it, and though by it slavery may be overthrown or removed, nevertheless the object of the war is to recover the rightful authority of the government, and to put down treason. He spoke of the desertion of the country around about Port Royal, and in reply to his own question, What ought to be done? said: Let our military commanders organize a Bureau of Agriculture—let the black hands gather the white staple—let the laborers reap the fruits thus employed. The resolutions, he said, do not determine what the future is to be. Let us first do the work put upon us, and after that the way will be opened to us. As our army advances, the reëstablishment of the former order of things would be apparent. Already a Post-office has been put in operation at Port Royal, soon the schoolhouse and church would follow, and even in the Palmetto State the banner of our common country will wave over the land of the free. Treason will be suppressed, the Constitution and laws will be established, and the security of the laws and Constitution maintained. In the course of his remarks he said Simon Cameron had done more in three months to raise his name higher among men and the lovers of freedom, and as an opposer of rebellion and treason, than during all his previous long career in public life. Towards the conclusion of his lengthy argument, he said it was time there was a definite understanding on this subject of confiscation, and he further contended that, as slavery was at the root of the treason, it should be confiscated, and quoted authorities to show that, by the law of nations, it was competent and right to pursue the course which his resolutions indicate.

Mr. STEELE (Opp., New York) made a weak proslavery reply to Mr. Eliot.

Mr. CONWAY, of Kansas (Rep.), contended that the conflict which has been progressing for nine months has changed its original character. From an attempt to put down an insurrection it has settled into deliberate war. We have not encountered the enemy in any battle in which we have won an unquestionable victory. With a very few exceptions the advantages gained by two expeditions, on the Southern coast, our arms have everywhere been overborne, notwithstanding our volunteers have displayed a gallantry rarely equalled. The general purposes of the government have been defeated, and the rebels have secured with nations a belligerent character in derogation of their responsibility to the Federal nation. How then could they have rights under the Constitution which the government is bound to respect while they exercise the right of withholding property from incompatible relations. We cannot treat them as sister States while they are warring upon us. In condemning the slaveholding power, he said its lust for dominion would cover the whole continent with its black pall. It was Kansas that first interposed a barrier to the despotic rule and stayed the despotic tide of slavery. History has no brighter page in all her annals than that of Kansas. Absolute security is what slavery wants, and absolute security we only will suffice. The principle on which the war is conducted by the Administration would restore slavery to its former relations to the Union. Again would disasters similar to the present result, and the causes which now operate would, in the future, involve us in the horrors of civil war. The old issue would revive with all its political earnestness. The emancipation of the slaves should be declared as a military necessity.

In the Senate, on Monday, Mr. GRIMES (Rep., Iowa) presented a petition that, in consequence of the present rebellion, slavery be absolutely and unconditionally abolished.

Mr. SUMNER (Rep., Mass.) presented several petitions asking that the slaves of the rebels be emancipated.

Mr. TEN EYCK (Rep., N. J.) offered a resolution, that the present war is for the Union according to the Constitution; and that the object of the war is to save the former, and enforce the latter; that it was so in the beginning, and should be so to the last; that measures extreme and radical, and disruptive in themselves, involving in a common fate the loyal and disloyal, should not be resorted to, and that, in suppressing treason, the government cannot prove a traitor to the organic law of the land. Laid over.

Mr. HALE (Rep., N. H.) offered a resolution that the Committee on Military Affairs be requested to inquire into the expediency of providing a uniform manner for dealing with the slaves of the rebels, and those made prisoners or escaping from their masters. Agreed to.

Mr. SUMNER offered a resolution that the Commissioner of Patents inquire if any additional legislation is necessary to secure persons of African descent the right to take out patents. Agreed to.

Mr. WILKINSON (Rep., Min.) offered a resolution to expel Jesse D. Bright of Indiana from the Senate for disloyalty. Mr. Bright made a weak speech in his own defence, after which the resolution was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

A communication was received from the Marshal of the District, in reply to the resolution heretofore adopted in regard to slaves confined in the jail. The Marshal said the slaves have been so confined in consequence of old and uniform custom here, which he supposed according to law, but had not made investigation of the subject.

Mr. COX said that instead of bringing up so frequently the "pestiferous negro," the country expected Congress to give a little time to more important subjects.

The amendment was agreed to and the resolution adopted.

In the Senate, Dec. 12th, nothing of importance in relation to slavery was done. ▪

In the House, on the same day, Mr. BLAIR, of Missouri (Rep.), rising to a question of privilege, caused to be read the following extract as further explanatory of the remarks which he made on Mr. Lovejoy's resolution, having in view the revocation of a part of Gen. Halleck's general order relative to fugitive slaves. The resolution, it has already been stated, was laid on the table.

> HEADQUARTERS, }
> DEPARTMENT OF THE MISSOURI. }
>
> *Hon. F. P. Blair, Washington.*
>
> SIR: Your letter of the 4th inst. is just received. Order No. 3 was, in my mind, clearly a military necessity. Unauthorized persons, black or white, free or slave, must be kept out of our camps unless we are willing to publish to the enemy everything we do or intend to do. It was a military, and, not a political order. I am ready to carry out any lawful instructions in regard to fugitive slaves which my superiors may give me, and to enforce any laws which Congress may pass, but I cannot make law and will not violate it. You know my private opinion on the policy of confiscating the slave property of rebels in arms. If Congress shall pass it, you may be certain that I shall enforce it. Perhaps my policy as to the treatment of rebels and fugitive slaves may be found in my letter of March 13, issued the day before your letter was written, as I could now describe it. Yours truly,
>
> H. HALLECK.

Mr. LOVEJOY, of Illinois (Rep.), in explanation said, in introducing his resolution, he, of course, did not desire to revoke an order that Gen. Halleck did not mean to make. He would say in regard to himself and others, that an attempt has been made to convey the impression that he and others design to support the war, not against the rebellion, but against slavery. He believed in taking away all the property of rebels and emancipating their slaves as the most efficient means of suppressing the rebellion. Others might differ from him as to the policy, but while he was in favor of carrying on the war for the specific and ultimate purpose of liberating slaves, he was against carrying it on for protecting slavery. He was opposed to the army being employed as slave-catchers, and to giving orders to throw back on their masters those who desire to escape, whether free or slave, black or white. Consequently, he thought if any orders had been given to drive slaves back into the hands of secessionists or into the hands of slaveholders, whether loyal or not, it was contrary to sound policy in carrying on the war and suppressing the rebellion. In conclusion, he said, if any soldiers want to fight simply for the purpose of returning fugitive slaves, he had only to remark that the army would be stronger without them.

Mr. ELIOT, of Massachusetts (Rep.), proceeded to explain and enforce his resolution, declaring that the war has for its object the suppression of the rebellion and the reëstablishment of the rightful authority of the National Constitution and the laws over the entire extent of our common country, and advising that military orders for the emancipation of the slaves be used whenever the same shall avail to weaken the power of the rebels in arms or in sustaining the military power of the loyal forces. Slavery, he argued, was at the root of the rebellion, and, therefore, is an outlaw. There was no doubt loyal men should be protected, because it should be understood that the men who stand up for the right should be held close to our hearts. This war has been carried on as anti-slavery, and it was in such thing. Though slavery caused it, and though by it slavery may be overthrown or removed, nevertheless the object of the war is to recover the rightful authority of the government, and to put down treason. He spoke of the desertion of the country around about Port Royal, and in reply to his own question, What ought to be done? said: Let our military commanders organize a Bureau of Agriculture—let the black hands gather the white staple—let the laborers reap the fruits thus employed. The resolutions, he said, do not determine what the future is to be. Let us first do the work put upon us, and after that the way will be opened to us. As our army advances, the reëstablishment of the former order of things would be apparent. Already a Post-office has been put in operation at Port Royal, soon the schoolhouse and church would follow, and even in the Palmetto State the banner of our common country will wave over the land of the free. Treason will be suppressed, the Constitution and laws will be established, and the security of the laws and Constitution maintained. In the course of his remarks he said Simon Cameron had done more in three months to raise his name higher among men and the lovers of freedom, and as an opposer of rebellion and treason, than during all his previous long career in public life. Towards the conclusion of his lengthy argument, he said it was time there was a definite understanding on this subject of confiscation, and he further contended that, as slavery was at the root of the treason, it should be confiscated, and quoted authorities to show that, by the law of nations, it was competent and right to pursue the course which his resolutions indicate.

Mr. STEELE (Opp., New York) made a weak proslavery reply to Mr. Eliot.

Mr. CONWAY, of Kansas (Rep.), contended that the conflict which has been progressing for nine months has changed its original character. From an attempt to put down an insurrection it has settled into deliberate war. We have not encountered the enemy in any battle in which we have won an unquestionable victory. With a very few exceptions the advantages gained by two expeditions, on the Southern coast, our arms have everywhere been overborne, notwithstanding our volunteers have displayed a gallantry rarely equalled. The general purposes of the government have been defeated, and the rebels have secured with nations a belligerent character in derogation of their responsibility to the Federal nation. How then could they have rights under the Constitution which the government is bound to respect while they exercise the right of withholding property from incompatible relations. We cannot treat them as sister States while they are warring upon us. In condemning the slaveholding power, he said its lust for dominion would cover the whole continent with its black pall. It was Kansas that first interposed a barrier to the despotic rule and stayed the despotic tide of slavery. History has no brighter page in all her annals than that of Kansas. Absolute security is what slavery wants, and absolute security we only will suffice. The principle on which the war is conducted by the Administration would restore slavery to its former relations to the Union. Again would disasters similar to the present result, and the causes which now operate would, in the future, involve us in the horrors of civil war. The old issue would revive with all its political earnestness. The emancipation of the slaves should be declared as a military necessity.

In the Senate, on Monday, Mr. GRIMES (Rep., Iowa) presented a petition that, in consequence of the present rebellion, slavery be absolutely and unconditionally abolished.

Mr. SUMNER (Rep., Mass.) presented several petitions asking that the slaves of the rebels be emancipated.

Mr. TEN EYCK (Rep., N. J.) offered a resolution, that the present war is for the Union according to the Constitution; and that the object of the war is to save the former, and enforce the latter; that it was so in the beginning, and should be so to the last; that measures extreme and radical, and disruptive in themselves, involving in a common fate the loyal and disloyal, should not be resorted to, and that, in suppressing treason, the government cannot prove a traitor to the organic law of the land. Laid over.

Mr. HALE (Rep., N. H.) offered a resolution that the Committee on Military Affairs be requested to inquire into the expediency of providing a uniform manner for dealing with the slaves of the rebels, and those made prisoners or escaping from their masters. Agreed to.

Mr. SUMNER offered a resolution that the Commissioner of Patents inquire if any additional legislation is necessary to secure persons of African descent the right to take out patents. Agreed to.

Mr. WILKINSON (Rep., Min.) offered a resolution to expel Jesse D. Bright of Indiana from the Senate for disloyalty. Mr. Bright made a weak speech in his own defence, after which the resolution was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

A communication was received from the Marshal of the District, in reply to the resolution heretofore adopted in regard to slaves confined in the jail. The Marshal said the slaves have been so confined in consequence of old and uniform custom here, which he supposed according to law, but had not made investigation of the subject.

Mr. COX said that instead of bringing up so frequently the "pestiferous negro," the country expected Congress to give a little time to more important subjects.

The amendment was agreed to and the resolution adopted.

In the Senate, Dec. 12th, nothing of importance in relation to slavery was done. ▪

In the House, on the same day, Mr. BLAIR, of Missouri (Rep.), rising to a question of privilege, caused to be read the following extract as further explanatory of the remarks which he made on Mr. Lovejoy's resolution, having in view the revocation of a part of Gen. Halleck's general order relative to fugitive slaves. The resolution, it has already been stated, was laid on the table.

> HEADQUARTERS, }
> DEPARTMENT OF THE MISSOURI. }
>
> *Hon. F. P. Blair, Washington.*
>
> SIR: Your letter of the 4th inst. is just received. Order No. 3 was, in my mind, clearly a military necessity. Unauthorized persons, black or white, free or slave, must be kept out of our camps unless we are willing to publish to the enemy everything we do or intend to do. It was a military, and, not a political order. I am ready to carry out any lawful instructions in regard to fugitive slaves which my superiors may give me, and to enforce any laws which Congress may pass, but I cannot make law and will not violate it. You know my private opinion on the policy of confiscating the slave property of rebels in arms. If Congress shall pass it, you may be certain that I shall enforce it. Perhaps my policy as to the treatment of rebels and fugitive slaves may be found in my letter of March 13, issued the day before your letter was written, as I could now describe it. Yours truly,
>
> H. HALLECK.

Mr. LOVEJOY, of Illinois (Rep.), in explanation said, in introducing his resolution, he, of course, did not desire to revoke an order that Gen. Halleck did not mean to make. He would say in regard to himself and others, that an attempt has been made to convey the impression that he and others design to support the war, not against the rebellion, but against slavery. He believed in taking away all the property of rebels and emancipating their slaves as the most efficient means of suppressing the rebellion. Others might differ from him as to the policy, but while he was in favor of carrying on the war for the specific and ultimate purpose of liberating slaves, he was against carrying it on for protecting slavery. He was opposed to the army being employed as slave-catchers, and to giving orders to throw back on their masters those who desire to escape, whether free or slave, black or white. Consequently, he thought if any orders had been given to drive slaves back into the hands of secessionists or into the hands of slaveholders, whether loyal or not, it was contrary to sound policy in carrying on the war and suppressing the rebellion. In conclusion, he said, if any soldiers want to fight simply for the purpose of returning fugitive

Our Western Correspondence.

CINCINNATI, O., Dec. 10.

To see this nation looking hopefully up to, and waiting patiently upon George B. McClellan, as one waiting to bring them any good, struck me a month ago in so ludicrous a light, as to excite more of mirth or sad mournful. When Hercules went to unbind Prometheus, he sailed, as the story stands in Seneca, the whole length of the ocean in an earthen pot (*orcum*). Caesar, when he went South to break the chains of Rome, and set Humanity free, would matter but little who was her Commander General—whether a glorious man of war or an arrant poltroon. But, as America has no such divine necessity, it is simply painful to see its fortunes entrusted to that small man alluded to. A small man he is in every regard, and owes his elevation only to the notoriety of escaping things to climb where lions reign. The "conduct of Life" I read that "genius cannot. In which of the departments of life, and then fly to physicians, not that they have any faith in them, but in despair of themselves." What other reason can be assigned for the elevation of this railroad president to his present position? There was not one thing in his campaign in Western Virginia to justify it; on the contrary, the only successful expedition there was one which disobeyed his orders, he taking credit. His proclamation on entering Virginia was one which should damn him under any circumstances, but his actions were have placed him under the ban of the civilized world. remarkable only for their vanity and the silliness of that One who gravely announced to the War Department that he had cleared Western Virginia of rebels! No sooner was he declared Secession Colonel of California, Mr. Browning of Illinois, Mr. Cowan of Pa., Mr. Dixon of Ct., and Mr. Sumner of Massachusetts. The address of the Senator last named was one of those finished productions to which no mere sketch can do any sort of justice. Our limits permit us to give only the peroration:

> The question is painfully asked, who was the author of this treachery, and who betrayed the Senate Chamber, as it has already filled the country, with mourning. Such a crime is a strong desire to hold somebody responsible, where so many are involved unprofitably. But we need not appoint committees or study conjectures. We shall find precisely who took this precious life. The great criminal is easily detected. The guns, the halls, and the men of our country, are all little importance. It is the Power behind them all, saying, 'the State, it is I,' which took this precious life; and this Power is Slavery. The unclean hands which have departed brother came from slavery. Every gaping wound in the lifeless bosom testifies against slavery. Every drop of his generous blood cries out from the ground against slavery. Do you doubt our conclusion? Look, then, at the humble agent and to dismiss the giant principal. We shall we do great service if we merely criticise some local blunder, or some act of treason in the midst of war. We must go deeper, and lay the axe untouched that fatal influence which has immediate instruments, and will be, if any real investigation of this case is made, be traced, to his door. *But that investigation will not take place, for military reasons.*

To one who knows the associations and antecedents of this man it is intrinsically credible that, as far as any principle is concerned, he might have been indifferent only on one side or the other; and, if slavery and freedom were at direct issue, his natural place would have been on the side of slavery. Of the moral forces at work, under masque of North and South, he is as ignorant as a Hottentot.

Some have imagined that there is something in his personnel calculated to inspire the hopes which our rulers have reposed upon him. Nothing but the toadyism of N. P. Willis has ever discovered anything of the kind. The other day I sat an hour with a young man who had gone out at the first tap of the drum, and been under McClellan in Western Virginia, and returned lately with typhoid, from which he was recovering. I asked him about McClellan, as viewed by his men; and this gentleman, who has a fine clear mind and a high culture, informed me that the general idea among the soldiers is that Gen. McClellan "is a great little man. He knows how to obey orders implicitly, but is always weak in giving them. Has no *suggestiveness* of mind. The soldiers liked him; always believed him disposed to do them justice; but in not one soldier's breast did he ever kindle a spark of enthusiasm; and when told that Gen. McClellan was to leave them for Washington, they would not have tossed a cap as to whether he should go or stay, as far as they were concerned." This is as good a report of Gen. McClellan as I have been able to get from the large number of soldiers with whom I have conversed.

Meantime, the world looking on sees that the man who is to do anything about Washington must be a large man—a man to enkindle the enthusiasm of soldiers, and not—*not whine* for—the "confidence" of the nation: He asks for the people's "patience and confidence"—the moderate man! The first he has pressed on until it is ready to break, and, I trust, will soon; and as for the latter, has he never heard of an old proverb which reads: "Confidence is a plant of slow growth?" If he wishes to find any such plant in his garden, by spring, 'tis time he was planting it.

But George B. McClellan will make no move, therefore no mistake; he counts that the people are ready to sustain the sneaking, cowardly policy of sitting, at cost of a million a day, on the banks of the Potomac, in a battle of endurance, to see which can wait the longest, and whose purse will hold out the longest. I know well enough what Gen. McClellan has been fulfilling his whole power in reorganizing the army of the Potomac; he can hold the place he has now only at the cost of the demoralization of the entire country on the political issue, and the moral position toward this rebellion.

But why should we waste thoughts upon the donkeys in lions' hides, near which fatal namasking hands are hovering, when, in the ranks of God's own bodyguard, captains are falling? Alas! when such men as George D. Curtis talk about a "national honor" as compatible with the buying and selling of human souls and bodies; when such a man as Henry Ward Beecher falls into the path, and sinks to the rear with the crouching and abject, what shall we say? Last week, I read, in the vilest of Missouri pro-slavery sheets, the report of Henry Ward Beecher's Thanksgiving Discourse, under the joyful caption: "A Damicl come to Judgment!" Our own pro-slavery Democratic sheet, the Cincinnati *Enquirer*, had the same, headed—" Sound Views from an Unexpected Quarter." Not a vile sheet support ing human bondage, from *The Herald* and *Express* of New York, to the wretched, filthy sheets of Kentucky, but are hailing as a co-worker Henry Ward Beecher!

I read them all—for they were flaunted in my face by the foes of freedom—and for the first time I knew the fall plaintiveness of the old Prophet's words : "They shall be as when a standard-bearer fainteth."

I read the sermon from which they gained strength, and from my heart welled up a tear, which blotted one more honored and trusted name from my calendar, already, alas! too brief.

> We that had loved him so, followed him, honored him,
> Lived in his mild and magnificent eye,
> Learned his great language, caught his clear accents,
> Made him our pattern to live and to die!
>
> * * * * * * *
>
> Life's night begins: let him never come back to us!
> There would be no strength nor beauty in it,
> Were it possible even on our part—the glimmer of twilight,
> Never glad confident morning again!

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

THE ATLANTIC MONTHLY for January is a capital number. Here is a list of the articles it contains. "Methods of Study in Natural History," the first of a series of papers by Prof. Louis Agassiz. "Agnes of Sorrento," by Mrs. Stowe, continued. "The True Heroine," a poem. "Jefferson and Slavery," an excellent and timely paper, by Prof. A. D. White, of the University of Michigan, bringing out in bold relief the anti-slavery sentiments of the great founder and early leader of American Democracy, and contrasting them with the miserable pro-slavery course of the party called Democratic at this day. "A Story of To-day," continued, by the author of "Life in the Iron Mills." "James Fenimore Cooper," by George S. Hillard. "Por Tenebras, Lumina," by Mrs. A. D. T. Whitney. "Love and Skates," by the late Major Winthrop. "Light Literature," by Rev. D. A. Wasson. "Filibrimage to Old Boston," by Nathaniel Hawthorne. "Autobiographical Sketches of a Strength-Seeker," by Dr. George B. Wiudship. "Vermont's Hundred Days in Missouri," by William Dorsheimer, of Buffalo. "Birdofredum Sawin, Esq., to Mr. Hosea Biglow," by J. R. Lowell; for which (omitting the introductory epistle of the Rev. Homer Wilbur, A.M.) see fourth page of this week's STANDARD. "Old Age," by Ralph Waldo Emerson. "Reviews and Literary Notices."—Boston: Ticknor and Fields.

THE SPIRIT OF THE PULPIT. George P. Putnam, 532 Broadway, publisher of *The Rebellion Record*, proposes to issue a supplementary volume under this title: "Spirit of the Pulpit, with Reference to the Present Crisis: a Collection of Sermons by distinguished divines, North and South, with revisions and corrections by the authors." The First Part of this volume is before us, containing twenty-one Sermons by as many different preachers, representing various phases of sentiment at the North, and the argument for the Secession as discussed by the Southern pulpit. Among the Southern discourses is that of Dr. Palmer of New Orleans, "Slavery a Divine Trust": also that of Bishop Elliott of Georgia, "God's Presence with the Confederate States"; and it would be wrong not to include under the same head that of Rev. Southside Adams of Boston, "The Re-Union of the North." Among the Northern discourses we find those of Dr. Tyng, Dr. Bellows, Dr. Hitchcock and Dr. Hodge. The radical Pulpit will no doubt have a hearing in future numbers.

OBITUARY.

DIED, in Coventry (R. I.), Nov. 10th, after a lingering illness, CALEB KELTON, in the 81st year of his age. He was a pioneer in the Anti-Slavery movement—was a member of the Convention which organized the Rhode Island Anti-Slavery Society in February, 1836, and was one of the directors. He was one of the fifteen subscribers to *The Liberator*, and was ready about that time, and at his death was one of the three that continued their subscriptions through the storm of 1840 and subsequent political and ecclesiastical trials. His faith was never shaken in the righteousness of the cause, nor in the fidelity by which it was conducted. Many of the Rhode Island agents and lecturers will long remember the Kilton family, which sheltered, a few years ago, on the deceased and his six maiden sisters, all Abolitionists of the right stamp. They have been dropping away one after another, and one by one for which preparation must be at once demanded. In all probability the first steamer will carry out instructions to the Secretary to demand that Mason and Slidell be delivered up, seizing Mason and Slidell while under the protection of the British flag. Should this demand not be complied with, he cannot doubt that Lord Lyons will, under the instructions he has received, at once withdraw with the British Delegation from Washington."

The London *Observer* (ministerial organ) says "a special messenger of the Foreign Office has been ordered to carry our demands to Lord Lyons, and will proceed from Queenstown to-day. The public will be satisfied to know that these demands, the form of an apology and to insist on the restitution to the protection of the British flag of those who were violently and illegally torn from that sacred asylum." *The Observer* adds that "there is no reason to suppose that the Trent will not be the quarter deck of the British Admiral at New York or Washington itself, in the face of some ten or twelve armed-to-the-teeth frigates, which Commodore would render the blustering Cabinet at Washington as helpless as the Trent was before the guns and cutlasses of the San Jacinto. It is no fault of ours if it should come even to this."

It is understood that a bearer of dispatches to Lord Lyons arrived in the Europa at Boston on Tuesday evening, and proceeded direct to Washington. A messenger, with dispatches for the State Department and the Minister at the British Court, is said to have arrived at the same time. The Cunard steamer Africa at this port has been detained to take charge of them. Lord Lyons in response to those brought by the special messenger from the British government.

The Feeling in France.

Letters from France state that the news of the American difficulty had immediately created a panic in the Paris Bourse, and had immediately depressed the funds. The first general impression was that ample reparation must be made to prevent a collision.

It was reported in London, then in Paris, that the Emperor Napoleon was to act as a mediator in this matter. The latest news from France, dated Dec. 5, shows that the tone of the French press is becoming less belligerent.

Great Conflagration at Charleston.

On Wednesday evening, the 11th inst., a conflagration broke out in Charleston, S.C., which rapidly extended. Having all the means employed for its extinguishment, and was raging as late as 10 o'clock on Thursday evening, when the light thereof was seen from the U. S. steamer Illinois passing the harbor. Nearly the whole business part of the city was in flames. The Mercury of the 14th gives a list of 576 buildings, including near

Miscellaneous Department.

BIRDOFREDUM SAWIN, ESQ., TO MR. HOSEA BIGLOW.

It's some consolitite of a spell since I hain't writ no letters,
An' time's a great eleuces hez toted off a cord or two o' my
years:
Some considerables air dead an' gone, an' some hez been
defeated,
Which 'mounts to pooty much the same; for it's ben proved
repeated
A hetch-o' bread that hain't riz once ain't gobt to riso again,
An' it's just mooney throwed away to put the ingrejents in:
But then 's wut folks wun't never larn; they 'pear to want to
so,
Arter you want their room, no more 'n a bullet-headed
beetle hez
Ther' 's otherer chaps a hangin' roun' that can't soo peo-
time 's past,
Mis'ble raw rookers in a rain, heads down an' tails half-mast:
It ain't disgrateful bein' bent, when a helt nation does it,
But I blame it like an unbirchin'-child 'at don't take twice
down slick.
I spose you 're kin' o' cur'ous, now, to know why I hain't
writ,
Wal, I've ben where a litt'ry taste don't somehow seem to
fit
Th' encouragement a feller 'd think, thet 's need to public
schools,
An' wher' cech things ez paper 'n' ink air clean agin tho
rules:
A kind o' sys'vacy hoase, built dretfle strong an' stout,
So 's 't honest people can't git in, ner t' other sort git out.
An' with the winders so contrived, you'd prob'ly like the
view
Better a-lookin' in than out, though it seems sing'lar, tu;
But then the landlord sets by ye, can't hear ye outo' sight,
And feels ye up ez ef he cal'lated to buy ye for jest what
ye weigh:
This world is awfle contrary: the rope may stretch your
neck
Thet meebby kep' another chap frum washin' off a wreck;
An' you will see the laters grow in one poor feller's patch,
So small no self-respectin' hen thet walked time 'ould scratch,
So small the rot can't find 'em out, while big ones grow
Ez big ez wus hogs dream on when they 're 'most too fat to
snoro:
But gruntin' ain't no kin' o' use; an' ef the fust throw fails,
Why, up an' try agin, thet 's all—the coppers ain't all tales;
Though I her seen 'em when I thought they hed n't no more
head
Than 'd serve a mushi' Brigadier thet gits some ink to shed.
When I writ last, I'd bon turned loose by thet blamed nig-
ger, Pomp,
Fer'nenst whom a nmsqaash, ef you 'd took an' dreened his
swamp;
But I a'n't o' the meechin' kind, thet sets an' thinks fer
weeks
The bottom 's out o' th' universe coz their own gillpot leaks.
I fled across bayous an' creeks (wal, it did beat all natur'),
Upon a kin' o' corderoy, fust log, then alligator;
Luck'y the critters wasn't sharp-shot; I guess it was over-
rated
They 'd done their mornin's marketin' an' gut their hunger
quenched;
Fer missionaries to the Creeks an' runaways air viewed
By them ez' folks on sent consate ez you an' I should:
Wunst I was, they laid an' snoozed ez peacefully ez sin-
ners,
Meek ez disgestin' deacons be at ordination dinners;
Ef nine on 'em turned an' snapped, I let 'em kin' o' taste
My live-oak leg, an' so, ye see, they warn't no great o' waste,
Fer they found out in quick time that ef they 'd ben to
college
'T warn't heartier food than thoqh 't wuz made out o' the
tre o' knowledge.
But I tell you my other leg had larned wut pizon-nettle
meant,
An' var'ous other nsefle things, afore I reached a settle-
ment,
An' all o' me that wuz n't sore an' sendin' prickles thru me
Wuz jest the leg I parted with in lickin' Montezumy:
A nsefle limb it 's ben to me, an' stood me in good stead
Than other best 'n nothin', coz I dror my pension for it.
Wal, I gut in at last wherc folks wux elverlized an' white,
Ez I diskivered to my cost nfore 't wux hardly night;
Fer I 's was settin' in the bresh, a-nussin' my game leg,
An' feelin' like a man agin, all over in one spot,
A feller thet sot opposite, arter n squint at me,
Lep up an' drawed his pescetnnbo, an' sez, sez he, "Dash it, Sir,"
sez he,
"I'm donbledashed of you ain't hlm thet stole my paller
clostle,
(You jire all the stranger thet 's aronnd), so now you 've
gut to settle;
It ain't no use to argerly ner try to cut up frisky,
I know ye ez I know the smell o' ole chain-lightnin' whis-
key!
We 're lor-abidin' folks down here, we 'll fix ye so 's 't a
har
Would ef tech ye with a ten-foot pole; (Judge, you jest
warm the tar:)
Yon 'll think you'd better ha' gut among a tsike o' Mongrel
Tartars,
'Fore we 've done sbowin' how we raise our Southun prize
smarytrs,
A mouldin' fallen cherubim, ef he should see ye, 'd sclelter,
Thinkin' he had n't nary chance. Come, genlemun, le' 's
git better."
An', Gin'ral, when you 've mixed the drinks an' chalked
'em up, tote roun'
An' cotch nf ther' 's a leather-hed (thet 's borrynble) in town.
We 'll try yo fair, Ole Grafted-leg, an' of the tar wun't
skile,
Th' ain't not a juror bero but wut 'll 'quit ye double-quick."
To cut it short, I wun't say sweet, they gi' me a good lay,
Ez I 'm sure they 're jest as fond o' fair play ez enny
other;
But nnt content with that, they took n kerridge from the
fence
An' rid me roun' to see the place, entirely free 'f expenso,
With forty-leven new kines o' sarse without no charge
acoutsted me.
Gi' me three cheers, an' vowed thet I wuz all their fahncy
painted me;
They treated me to all their eggs; they keep 'em, I should
think,
Fer sech ovations, pooty long, fer they wux mos' distine' j
They carved me thick 's the Milky-Way with indiscrim'nits
cberity,
Fer wut we call reception eggs air snntbia' of a rerity;
Ez fer the sarse is plenide enough, skurce wuth a nigger's
goshertn',
Fer wut we call reception eggs ranges high for treatin' Nothun
brethertn';
A spotteder, ringstreakeder child ther' warn't in Uncle Sam's
Hill fnm—a cross of striped pig an' one o' Jacob's lambs;
I wux Dannil in the lious' den, bew an' enlarged cdition,
An' everythin' fust-rate o' 'ts kind, the' warn't no large
tion.
Gov.'s impulsiver down here than wut our folks to home
be,
An' kin' o' go it 'ith a resh in ralsin' Hall Colnmbv;
Ther' 's no; an' they swarmed out like bees, for your real
Southun men's
Time is n't o' much more account than an ole settin' hen's;
(They jest work sonlocoonsshally, an' put their hull soul at all,
An' so their time an' 'tention both air at sncity's call.)
Talk about hospitality! wut Nothun town d' ye know
Would take a stile already made an' finish it off slow
You 'd hetter b'lieve ther' 's nothin' like this spendin' days
an' nights
Along 'ith a dependent race fer civerlizin' whites.
But this wux all prelim'nary; it 's so Gran' Jurors here
Fin' a true bill, a handlor way than ourn, an' nut so dear;
So arter this they sentenced me to make a Bridge o' my leg,
Afore a reg'lar court o' law, to ten years in the Jug.
I did n' make no gret defence: you don't feel much like
speakin',
When, ef you let your clamshells gape, a quart o' tar will
leak in:
I Aet hearn tell o' winged words, but pint o' fact it tethers
The spoutin' gift to hev your words tu thick sot on with
feathers,
An' Choate nor Webster would n't ha' made an A 1 kin' o'
speech
Astrido a Southun chestnut horse sharper'n a baby screech.
Two year ago they ketched the thief, n' seein' I wux inner-
cent,
They jestCoonkered an' le' me run, an' in my stid the sinner
lin.
To see how Ae liked pork n' pone flavored with wa'nnt
sawdin',
An' nary social priv'ilidge but a one-hoss, starn-wheel chap-
lin.
When I come out, the folks hohaved mos' gen'nnnly an'
harnsome;
They 'lowed it would n't be more 'n right, ef I should cuss
wt' darn some:
The Cunnle he spolorgized; sus he, "I'll du wut's right,
I'll give ye sntisfoction sun's up, or shootin' at night,
An' give the nigger (when he's caught), to pay him for his
trickin'
In gittin' the wrong man took up, a most H fred lickin'—
It 's jest the way with all on 'em, the inconsistent critters,
They 're most enough to make me a Secessionist when he
listers;
I'll be your frien' thru thick an' thin an' in all kinas o'
weathers,
An' all you 'll hev to pay fer 's jest the waste o' tar an'
feathers:
A lady rowed the bed, ye see, a widder, tu, Miss Shennon;
It wus ler nnte; we would ha' took another, ef ther' 'd ben
one:
We don't make no charge for the ride an' all the other
fixins,
Le' 's liquor; Gin'ral, you can chalk our friend for all the
mixins."
A meetin' then wux called, where they "RESOLVED, That
we respect
B. S. Esquire for qualleries o' heart an' intellee'
Peculiar to Colnmby's sile, an' took to be the chief
Thet makes European travel a scrnge in all their gilded
pelces,
An' dooz gret honor to our race an' Southnn institootions";
(I give ye jest the substance o' the leadin' resolootions:)
"RESOLVED, That we revere in him a soger thout a fear,
A mawker to the pra-cepts o' Washington an' Jay;
RESOLVED, That other nations all, cf sot 'longside o' us,
For wortcher, larnin', efficiency, an' gin'ral good sense,
They gut up a subscription, tu, but no gret come o' that;
I 'xpect in cairin' off roun' they took a leaky hat;
Though Southun gentlemen don't often git their hands down
their
pockets,
(When they can write,) for in the end it comes to jest the
same,
Because, ye see, 't 's the fashion here to sign an' not to
think.
A critter 'd be so sordid ez to 'em for the chink;
I did n't call nut but on some on 'em, an' nt nooklnch on me
An' reckoned he warn't goin' to stan' no sech dog-gunned
nonsiine;
So nothin' more wux realized, 'ceptin' the good-will shown,
Thet 's fer'nd hen from fust to last a reg'lar Cotton Loan.
It 's a good way, though, come to think, coz ye enjy the
cxcise
O' lendin' lib'rally to the Lord, an' nary red 'o 'xpense:
Sence then I 've got my name wrote down in a gret many boards
fer 'p of subscribin' right an' left on this high-minded plan;
I 've got away my thousans so to every blessed man
O' missions, colleges, an' such, ner ain't no poorer for 't.
I wars 't so bad off, arter all; I need n't hardly mention
That ev'y cent owed me quite a pile for my arrears o' pen-
sion—
I mean it in the poor, weak thing we had; we run a new one
now,
Thet strings a feller with a claim up tu the highest bough,
An' gives the rights o' man, purtects down-trodden
debtors,
Jeff 's gut stacked idees thet 's, poscrip', fourteenth edition,
He knows it takes some enterprise to run an opposstion;
Ourn 's the fust thin'-sy daylight train, with silion doors for
dcnpcs,
Yourn goes so slow you 'd think 't wux drawed by a last
century's spoor;
Wal, I got all on 't paid in gold afore our State seceded,
An' done wal, for Confed'rit bonds wasn't just the choose I
indicated;
Nut but wut they 're ez good ez gold, but then it 's hard
a-brackin'
An' cheerful folks is ollers sot an' wunt git need to talkin'
on 'em;
They 're ez quick ez wut they wux afore ole Mem'nger
signed 'em,
An' go off middlin' val for drinks, when ther' 's a knife
Unissued
We du miss silver, jest for thet an' ridin' in a bus,
Now we 've shook off the despot thet wux suckin' at our
lives,
An' it 's because the South 's so rich; t' wux nat'ral to
expect
Supplies of change wux jest the things we should n't recol-
lect:
Wal, we ought to ha' thought afore hnnd, though, o' thet good
rule o' Crockett's,
For t 's tiresome carin' cotton-bales an' niggers in your
lines,
Ner 't ain't quite handy to pass off one o' your six-foot
Unionists
An' gits your halves an' quarters back in gals an' pickanin-
nies;
Wal, 't ain't quite all a feller 'd ax, but then ther' 's this to
be said,
It 's on'y jest among ourselves thet we expec' to pay;
Our system 's sech ez natur' calired us thru in any Bible cent'ry,
'Fore this onscription' plan come up o' books by double
entry;
We got the patriarkle here out o' all sight an' heatin',
For Jacob warn't a circumstance to Jeff at Hunnicutt';
Ez for the profits o' the war, I guess we 'll drop the matter
With nothin' to feel riled about unless it 's Seward's fall,
An' then confiscatin' all debts to such a small portater;
There 's 'phitickle econ'my, now, combined 'ith morril
principle,
That sayorifices privit gain (your in'my's, ta) to dooty;
Wy, Jeff'd lay gin him five an' won his eye-sockets like he
would a pair o' dice,
An', stid o' wastin' potatoe, he 'd hn' eat it up an' owed it.
But I wux goin' on to say how I come here to dwell;
'Nough said, thet, arter lookin' roun', I liked the place so
well
Where niggers does a donble good, with'ut us atop to stiddy
'em;
By bein' proofs o' prophecy an' cirkleatin' medium,
Where a man 's sruttin' coz he 's white, an' whiskey 's
cheap,
An' the financial pollercy jest sootcd my ideas.
That 's how I come right where I wux, nurtled the Widder
Sbennon,
(Her thirds wux past in cotton-land, part in the curse o'
Canaan)
An' here I be ez lively ez a chipmunk on a wall,
With nothin' to feel riled about unless it 's Seward's fall.
Ez fur ez human foresight goes, we made an even trade:
She got a nuisance, an' I fem'ly ready-made,
(The youngest on 'em 's 'most growed up), rugged an' spry
ez cat-tails,
So 's 't ther' 's no resk o' doctors' bills fer boopin'-cough an'
measles,
Our farm 's at Turkey-Buzzard Roost, Little Big Booby
River,
Wal located in all respex—for 't ain't the chills n' fever
That 's made my writin' seem to squirm; a Southuner 'd
allow I 'd
Some call to shake, for I 've jest hed to meller a new cow-
hide.
Miss B. is all 'f a lady; th' ain't no better on Big Booby
Ner one with more accomplishmunts 'twixt here an' Tasca-
loosy.
She 's an F. F., the tallest kind, an' prouder 'n the Grand
Turk,
An' never hed a relative thet done a stroke o' work;
Hern ain't a scrimpin' fem'ly sech ez you git up Down East,
Th' ain't a favored member on 't bu owes his blood, at least
the least;
She 's some old; but then agin ther' 's drawbacks in my
case,
Wut 's left o' me ain't more 'n enough to make a Brigadier;
Tne wust is, she 's tecs tantrums; she 's jest ez likely ez not
Gim me the mitten some fine day, an' hk limp Ole Dot an' Kitty
One);
He 'd left her loaded up a spell, an' hed to git her clear,
So he outbitched—Jeerusalem! the middle o' last year
Wux right neck' door compared to where she kicked the
bucket
(Though fest where he brought up wux wut no human
never knew);
His brains she picked her up an' tied her to n tree,
An' then she kicked an hour 'n' a half afore she 'd let it be:
Wal, Miss B. done her wuss; ourn 's a quarrelsome kind o'
folks,
Ez you can see by her widder's thirds, an' all on us hez tricks.
My object, though, in writin' now warn't to allude to sech,
Ner even to the fact 'at I 'm ongrammatic an' tech-
nical when I git excited, but only
To warn thet you should grad'lly break my merriage to
Jerusaly,
An' check in a heap of argrymnts thet's emple to ladooce ye:
Fust place, State's Prison—wal, it 's true it warn't for crime,
o' course,
But then it 's jest the same fer her in gettin' a divorce;
Nex' place, my State's secedin' out her leg'lity let' me free
To merry any one I please, jcrvidin' I let her be;
Fifthly, I never wun't come back, she need n't hev no fear
on 't;
But then it 's wal to fix things right fer fear Miss S. should
hear on 't;
Lastly, I 've gut religion Sonth, an' Rushy she 's a pazan
Ez ever kep' a heathen imlges o' the gret Nothan Dagon!
(Now I hain't seen oue in six musts, for, sence our Treashry
Chase,
Though 'aller boys is thick enough, eagles hez kind o'
flown);
An' of a goose a stronger pint than them that I hev stated,
Wy, she 's an alien in'my now, an' I 've ben cornisca-ted—
Wut does "confis" mean, ef 't ain't thpt nat'rul rights hez
rix, 'n'
That wut is mine 's my own, but wut 's another man's ain't
his,
Besides, I could n't do no else; Miss S. suz she to me,
"You 've sheered my bed," [Thet 's when I paid my intest
duction fee
To Sonthun men of "an' kep' your sheer," [Wal, I allow it
sticked
So 's 't I 'm wut most six weeks in jail afore I gut me picked],
"Ner never paid no demnigcs; but thet wun't do no hnrm,
Pervidin' thet you 'll undertake to oerse the farm;
(Ay sdar! they 's sn sook up, wut with the Ringtail Rangers
An' settin' in the Jestice-Court fer welcomin' o' strangers "!;)
(He sot on me; I sat so, ef you 'll jest oulerstand
Your n'ighbor's wife, we 'll up an' call it square.)
Wy, Dan'l Hartzell, I expect, 'll be ez free to agin...
Thet 's the way metters stood at fust; now wut wux I to du,
But jest to make the best on 't an' git wut good I could
Out o' the bad? Wan thet hez got an income necessarier
Than nne—bimeby I 'll tell ye how I fin'lly come to merry
her.
She hed another motive, tu: I mention off here
To encourage lads thet 's growin' up to study 'n' persevere,
An' show 'em how much better 't pays to mind their whiter
squeeze
Than to go off on benders 'n' sech, an' waste their time in
foolin';
Ef 't warn't fer studyin', evenins, I never 'd ha' ben here
An orn'ment o' society, in my approprui speer:
She wanted somebody, ye see, to taste an cultivation
To talk about Secessiehers when they stopt to the planta-
tion;
Our folks in Dixie th't read an' write, onless it is by jarks,
Is akurce ez wut they wux among th' oridgenal patrirchs;
To fit a feller I' wut they call the soshle circlis
All they 've got to know is jest beyond an evrage
darky;
Schoolin' 's wut they can't seem to stan', they 're tu con-
sarned high-pressure,
An' knowin't much might spile a boy for lein' a Secesher.
Wal, I 'spect I 've said enough about my edjercation;
The min'ster's only settlement 's the carpet-bag he packs
his
Razor an' soap-brush intu, with his hymbook an' his Bible—
But they du preach, I swan to man, it 's purkly indescrib'le!
They go to 't ez ef Scriptur' 's nothin' but a lever to work
Jest ez the Ole Split-Pool wnuch an' squirm, for all he 's used
to singin';
Have a Nosstone ain't a pinch o' primin' to the lunards
To henrin' on 'em put free grace t' a lot o' tough old stu-
hards!
But I must send this letter now: 'fore long I 'll send a fresh
one:
I 've lots o' things to write about, perticklerly Secesh.nn;
I 'm called off now to matters o' work, to let a feller lie'n
To Cyrnby's hoss and so, till denth,
Yourn, BIRDOFREDUM SAWIN.

—Atlantic Monthly for January.

THE DUKE OF ARGYLE ON THE AMERICAN WAR.

From an English paper.

THE Duke of Argyle was entertained at a grand banquet in Inverary, by his tenantry. The banquet was held in the Argyle Arms Hotel, Rev. Colin Smith, D.D., in the chair. Nearly eighty gentlemen sat down to an elegant repast. The formal toasts having been disposed of, the Chairman, in an eulogistic speech, proposed the health of their noble guest, which was enthusiastically responded to. The Duke of Argyle, in replying, took occasion to advert to the present state of affairs in America. He said:

"I see that there has been some fear expressed lately that the inconvenience which is likely to arise in this country from the contest in America is great. That there will be a pressure yet upon the government to interfere in that contest, or at least to take some steps which may ultimately involve us in it. I have too high an opinion of the good sense of the British public and of the people of this country to believe that any such pressure will be put upon the government; and I must add that I have too great confidence in the firmness and good sense of the government and Parliament of this country to believe that they will be willing to submit to such pressure if it were put upon them. It is our absolute duty, I need hardly tell you, *to remain entirely neutral in that contest*, and I am sure it is our duty to remain entirely regards action, but I think it is to a very great extent our duty even to abstain from offering any advice, though it might be conceived in a kind and friendly spirit. No good could arise from such offering such advice as that, for example, which was lately suggested, I have no doubt with the best intentions, by the Emperor of Russia to the contending parties in America. The answer which the American people would give to any such interference would be very civilly expressed, virtually amount to this: 'We are much obliged to you for your kind advice. We have no doubt that it is conceived in the best spirit, and with the best intentions; but there is a great rule in the United States that every man should attend to his own affairs. And there is this additional reason for doing so in the present case, that it is quite evident that you don't understand ours.' I do not answer which virtually the American people have actually given, and which, I am convinced, they will continue to give, to all such counsel. Because, after all, the truth is this, that mere general advice, to compose their differences, without any specific suggestion as to the terms upon which those differences are to be adjusted, is always held by the Americans to imply impudently, even though it be not intended, that the objects for which they are contending are either unworthy or at least trivial and unimportant. Now, whatever we may think of that contest, in fairness to the American friends, we ought to admit that no more tremendous issues were ever submitted to the dread arbitrament of war than those which are now submitted to it upon the American continent. We do not care to take a look at it from a Northern or from the Southern point of view. Take the mere question of what is called the right of secession. I know of no government which has ever admitted in the world that could possibly be established the right of secession from its own allegiance. There is a curious animal in Lochfine which I have sometimes dredged up from the bottom of the sea, and which performs the most extraordinary, incessant, and valuable acts of suicide and self-destruction. It is a peculiar kind of starfish, which, when brought up from the bottom of the water, and when any attempt is made to take hold of it, immediately throws off all the fingers and every centre breaks up, and the fragments of one of the most beautiful forms in nature but a thousand wriggling fragments. Such undoubtedly would have been the fate of the American Union if its government had admitted what is called the right of secession. Gentlemen, I think we ought to admit, in fairness to the Americans, that there are some things worth fighting for, and that national existence is one of these. And then, gentlemen, if we go to the South, I do not quite see how from the Southern point of view, difficult as the matter from the South should raise in its defence. But, of course, this is an all other revolutions, those who take part in them must be judged finally by the measure of success. If successful in the cause which they have risen to assert. But whatever may be our private sympathies, we, as a nation, must take no part whatever in the contest. Most earnestly do we trust and pray that it may be brought to a speedy end, yet I confess that there is another wish which I think in our mind ought to stand even before this one, and that is the wish that the end of this war, whenever it does come, be in such a manner as to secure that there shall be no sacrifice at the cost—such as shall tend to the civilization of the world, and promote the cause of human freedom.

MEETING IN BEHALF OF AMERICAN INDIANS.

AT a meeting of the friends of the Indians, held in New York on the 9th inst., E. WEBSTER, Esq., was called to the chair, and W. C. Gould elected Secretary.

Dr. GOULD stated the object of the meeting, and introduced Judge Wattles of Kansas.

Mr. WATTLES said: The American nation cannot afford to lose the Indian race. The earth sees no other like it. So much of calm courage, so much of native eloquence, so much of gentleness, so much of all unselfish virtue, is not found in any other race or people. There are in Kansas the remnants of fifteen tribes, once part owners of this Western continent, now living on small reserves, secured by treaties with the United States. These tribes, having sold out their interest in the States, moved to Kansas from twenty to thirty years ago. Congress acted generously with them, giving large domains and like Abyssinian princes, they do upon the negroes—fair subjects for speculation and fraud. So far from having been carried, that several tribes have become nearly extinct by disease and starvation, in consequence of their privations, while in reality they were a healthy people, and supposed to be living in affluence. The various tribes in Kansas have formed into different degrees of civilized customs. The Sac and Fox Indians are the old Black Hawk tribes, and are a brave and noble race of men. Twenty years ago, after the Black Hawk war, the government purchased their lands in Iowa, giving each man about 400,000 acres in Kansas and an annuity of $81,000 per annum for ten years and $71,000 per annum twelve years. They also set apart a large sum for improvements. Eighteen years ago, when fairly settled on this land, they numbered 2,900. I was sent there as a special agent last spring to examine into their affairs, and I found only 890 persons alive, and not a farm nor a yoke of oxen, nor a cow, calf, or pig in the tribe, only two small ploughs. Not one full blood who dressed in citizens' costume or spoke the English language, and traders claiming debts of them for goods amounting to about $150,000. To pay this debt, they have already treated away a large part of their domain. Here is a tribe without a fault—the government aiming to deal generously with them, having spent on them, including salaries of agents, over $1,500,000 for civil purposes during that period, and $2,000,000 for civil purposes during that period, and $2,000,000 for civil purposes during that period, and $2,000